entered in P.C.

REFORMED CATECHISM,

IN

TWO DIALOGUES

CONCERNING

The ENGLISH REFORMATION.

COLLECTED

For the most part, Word for Word, out of Dr. Burnet, John Fox, and other Protestant Historians.

PUBLISHED

For the INFORMATION of the PEOPLE.

IN

REPLY to Mas William Kings ANSWER to D. Manby's Considerations, &c.

The FIRST DIALOGUE.

By Peter Manby D. of Londonderry.

Memento dierum antiquorum, cogita generationes singulas, interroga Patrem tuum, & annunciabit tibi, Majores tuos, & dicent tibi. Deut. 32. 7.

Dublin, Printed by Fos. Ray, for Christ. L'ans Bookseller in Obrist.

13045 REFORMATION.—A Reformed Catechism, in two Dialogues, concerning the English Reformation, collected out of Bp. Burnet, John Fox, and other Protestant Historians, by Peter Manby, Dean of Londonderry, 4to. fine copy, morocco elegant, gilt edges, EXTREMELY RARE, 5l. 5s. Dublin, 1687

entered in P.C.

A

REFORMED CATECHISM,

IN

TWO DIALOGUES

CONCERNING

The ENGLISH REFORMATION.

COLLECTED

For the most part, Word for Word, out of Dr. Burnet, John Fox, and other Protestant Historians.

PUBLISHED

For the Information of the People.

IN

REPLY to Mas William Kings ANSWER to D. Manby's Considerations, &c.

The FIRST DIALOGUE.

By Peter Manby D. of Londonderry.

Memento dierum antiquorum, cogita generationes singulas, interrogate Patrem tuum, & annunciabet tibi, Majores tuos, & dicentitibi. Deut. 32.7.

Dublin, Printed by Jos. Ray, for Christ. I'ans Bookseller in Christ, church Lane. 1687.

OFTIME CONT

OIT AMERICAN STATES

Marchial 12

1-1.

1. A Silver Silve

AUGOLAN DIALOGUE.

Teler Study D. of Landindury.

Laurento d'erant un mora e vita seneratives fi e las interes al las interes al la compositione de la compositione della compositione de la compositione de la compositione de lactione della compositione della compositione della compositione d

The Langilly Report of the Paris Deckeller

TO THE

READER.

Reader

Heaven, then either we or our Forefathers have been acquainted with, ought in all reason to recommend himself (as the Apostles did) by some other Authority then a bare pretence to Scripture, which every body claims as well as he : unless we would be tossed too and sto (as Saint Paul speaks) and carried about with every Wind of Doctrine, with the various Lights of all Resormers.

Cranmer was this new undertaker; who had neither Mission from Heaven, nor consent of the English Bishops to Authorize his Resormation, nor yet any great mind to dye a Martyr for the

fame; as will appear in the ensuing History.

Now I pray observe these words of Mas William King, page 21. of his Answer to Mr. Manby, viz. —— We own what he contends for, that both true Doctrine and external and lawful Mission are generally necessary to a regular Preacher of the Gospel, and if either of these are wanting, the Person is not to be teceived.

Here if it can be demonstrated that Cranmer had no Orders either as Priest or Bishop, but only to celebrate Mass, to preach the Doctrine of the Church of Rome, to hind and absolve Sinners; there is an end of the Controversie. If he had no Mission at all to preach the Doctrine of XXXIX. Articles, then by Mas William King's own Confession, he was not to be received. And for this (Reader) he pleased to read from page 81. of this Catechism to page 91.

And where he says, page 25 of his Answer, that Cranmer in all he did, had the unanimous vote and consent of the major part of the Convocation, the universal submission of the Clergy, and approbation of the People, &c. And a little after, but if the Clergy in a National Council, and the people in obedience to them, or from their own Inclinations did comply in earnest, what an idle question is it (for Mr.M.) to ask, by what Authority Cranmer condemned that Church from whom he received his Mission and Holy Orders? when she concurred in all he did, and approved, nay, made all the Alterations in her Liturgy, Sacraments and Constitutions that were made.

Now if it appear, that all the English Bishops, except a very few (during the Reign of Henry VIII.) were utterly against his pretended Reformation; and that almost all the Bishops under Edward VI. were turned out of their Sees for dissenting from it, and others substituted in their places; what shall we think of Mr. Kings Considence thus imposing upon his Readers? What shall become of his Answer to Mr. M. the whole strength whereof depends upon these words, that the major Vote of the Convocation concurred in all he did, and approved all the Alterations that were made, page 25.

Read Burnet relating the concerns of the Church upon King Edward's Succession to the Crown; and you shall find all affairs dispatch'd by the Privy Council and two Houses of Parliament, the Bishops generally dissenting. The Convocation (says Heylin ad annum 1547, the first year of King Edward) was not impowred to act in any publick business for ought appearing on Record.

Hift. Reform. p. 50. Third Edition.

And further, Note what he says (ad annum 1551. the fifth year of King Edward) but notwithstanding the remove of so many Bishops, there still remained one rub in the way which did much retard the Progress of the Reformation, the Princess Mary having been red up in the Romish Religion could not be won by any Perswasions to change her Mind, &c. page 102.

To the READER!

Burnet says, several of the Bishops under King Edward submitted to the Reformation against their Consciences. Which only amounts to what I affirm in this Catechism, that the Reformation was not the Act and Deed of the old Glergy, but imposed on the Nation by the Power and Interest of a sew persons for their own advantage.

Let us imagine, if the late Duke of Monmouth had prospered, and then summoned an Assembly of the English Bishops to reform the Church, as he and his Party had designed, and (they generally dissenting) should carry all things by strong hand; whether such a Resormation, passing with the consent of a sew Bishops and a sew other Clergymen, could be reputed the Ast and Deed of the Church of England?

But if you would see an Instance of Mr. Kings modest way of writing against the Pope and Church of Rome, read pag. 35. of his Answer, where he tells you of the Popes wicked Management of the Goods of the Church, and giving the Patrimony of the Church

to Lay-men, to useless and idle Monks and Fryars, &c.

And for an Instance of his Loyalty, see page 37. where he excufes Cranmer, for subscribing to a Letter for Excluding bie lawful Sovereign, the Princess Mary, from Succession to the Crown. It was a point of Law (faith be) in which Cranmer was not fingular. Mr. M. takes the liberty to question Queen Elizabeths Title, and fure it was no greater fault in Cranmer to question Queen Marys after the Opinion of the Judges given against her (mark that.) There is a great difference between Rebellion against the King of undoubted Title, and being engaged on a fide where the Title is really doubtful, &c. that is to fay, Cranmer was engaged on the fide of Lady Jane Grey, Queen Marys Title being really doubtful. And why was it doubtful? Mr. King gives you the reason, pag. 38. It was not only Cranmers Opinion, but the Opinion of most learned men in Europe, that her Mothers Marriage with King Henry was null. Now whether he understands this matter so well as he thinks, shall appear in the second part of this Catechism.

Good Reader, I humbly defire this Favour of thee, to set aside Prejudice and Interest for the space of two or three hours, whilst

thou art reading this Book, which are but Pearls upon both thy Eyes that will binder thy fight. Remember that Prayer of the Church of England, From Herefie and Schism good Lord deliver us. Hadft thou never so many Vertues, yet to live and dye in Schism, is as much as thy Soul is worth. Think upon the difference betwixt Time and Eternity; the consideration whereof must oblige thee to slight and despise all those things that concern thee only during thu momentary Lite, in comparison of those things that relate to thy future estate. What shall it profit a man to gain the whole world, and lose his own Soul? That there is a Schism in the Christian world is but too apparent: now you shall find very learned and moderate Protestants acquitting the Church of Rome from erring in the essentials of Religion; and very few now a days, except rank Fanatiques denying her to be a Christian Church. Why then in the name of God should there be a Schism about matters of no vital importance? Enquire a little further into matters. Read the Mass it self, and other Books of Devotion written by Roman Catholiques; pray to God to inflame your heart with the fire of Charity, and to bestow upon you the Grace of Humility, and contempt of your own private Spirit. Listen now and then to the inartificial Sermons of Roman Catholique Priests and Fryars, and by the Grace of God you shall find Popery another thing than you take it for. Remember that Popery appears not with so ridiculous a Face to the eyes of Protestants, but the Protestant Principles look as absurdly to the eyes of a Papift.

The Intention of this Book is to present thee with a Synopsis of the Resormation: and that for the most part (not my own, but) Dr. Burnet's Words; because the Words of an Author so licensed and commended by the Two Houses of Parliament (1680) cannot, doubtless, but be obliging to most Protestants. This Favour I desire of thee, that the Printers Mistakes (if any there be) may not be im-

puted to me.

In short, as for Mas William King, observe his modest way of writing against Popery, and thou shalt find therein a double portion of Dr. Burnets Spirit. Farewell.

A

REFORMED CATECHISM,

ORA

PROSPECT

OF THE

ENGLISH REFORMATION, &c.

Here is no part of History better received, (says Dr. Burnet) than the Account of great Changes and Revolutions of State and Government. Of all Changes, those in Religion, that have been sudden and signal, are enquired into with the most searching Curiosity, where the Salvation of Souls beeing concerned, the better sort are much affected. And the Credit, Honour and Interest of Parties draw in others, who, though they care not much for the Réligious part, yet make a noise about it to serve their ends.

The Changes, that have been made in Religion the last Century, have produced such effects every where, that it is no wonder if all persons desire to see a clear Account of the several steps in which they advanced, of the Councils that directed them, and the Motives, both religious and political, that inclined men of all conditions to concur in them. Burnets Preface to his first vol. pag. 1.

A. The

A. The Doctor observes very well; and therefore, I pray you, tell us some of those memorable passages that have occur'd to you in reading that History (which Protestants say) he hath compiled with so much Industry and Integrity.

B. I shall very willingly comply with your desires, provided

you will give me leave to do it in my own method.

A. What method will you observe?

B. In the first place, to entertain you with the Characters of the first Apostles and Evangelists of Reformation under the samous King Henry VIII. and his Son Edward VI. and that in the Doctors own words; which I remark to this end, that it may appear how likely Persons they were to have been sent or raised up by God for the Reformation of the World, and Restoration of the ancient Piety.

In the next place, to give you an Account of the Reformation it self (which began with King Henry's divorcing of Queen Katherine and Marriage with Ann Bolen) with some Observations

of my own, as I go along.

A. Take your own Method; and fince you think it convenient to relate their Characters, I would fain know the Doctors Opinion of King Henry himself, whom, I am told, he calls the Posti-

dion of Reformation.

B. Take it it in his own words, viz. [I am not to defend him, nor to lessen his Faults. The vastness and irregularity of his Expence procured many heavy Exactions, and twice extorted a publique Discharge of his Debts: His proud and impatient Spirit occasioned many cruel proceedings; The taking so many Lives only for denying his Supremacy, particularly Fisher's and More's, the one being extream old, and the other one of the Glories of his Nation for Probity and Learning: His extream Severity to all Cardinal Pools Family: His cruel using first Cromwell, and afterwards the Duke of Norfolk and his Son; besides his unexampled proceedings against some of his Wives. Preface to his first vol. pag. 7. The Faults of this King being so conspicuous and the Se-

verity of his Proceedings so unjustifiable, particularly that heinous Violation of the most sacred Rules of Justice and Government, in condemning men without bringing them to make their Answers; most of our Writers have separated the concerns of this Church from his Reign; and imagining that all he did was sounded only on his Revenge upon the Court of Rome for denying his Divorce, have taken little care to examine how matters were transacted in his time. Preface pag. 6.

A. What further account does the Doctor give of him?

B. He thought the German Princes and Divines should have submitted all things to his Judgment, and had such an opinion of his own Learning, and was so pusht up with the flattering Praises that he daily heard, that he grew impatient of any opposition; and thought that his Dictates should pass for Oracles. pag. 196. of his first vol. He never hated nor ruined any body by halves, pag. 346. and p. 362. I do not deny that he is to be numbred among the ill Princes, yet I cannot rank him with the worst; He is rather to be reckoned among the great than the good Princes. ibid.

A. Does the Doctor fay nothing in excuse of him?

B. Yes; the Reader may observe Burnet straining all his Wit and Learning to find out Apologies and Excuses for him and the rest of the Resormers. [If we consider (saith he) the great things that were done by him, we must acknowledge that there was a signal Providence of God in raising up a King of his Temper for clearing the way to that blessed Work that sollowed; and that could hardly have been done, but by a man of his Humour. So that I may very sit y apply to him the witty simile of a Writer who compares Luther to a Postilion in his waxed Boots and oiled Coat, lashing his Horses through thick and thin, and bespattering all about him. This Character besits King Henry better, (saving the Reverence due to his Crown) who as the Postilion of Resormation made way for it through a great deal of mire and filth. Pres. pag. 6.

A. What more?

B. Whatever Reproaches those of the Church of Rome cast on the Resormation upon the account of this Kings Faults, may may be easily turned back on their own Popes, Pres. pag. 8. Gregory 7. Boniface 8. Julius 2. Leo 10. Clement 7. Paul 3. and if the Lives of those Popes, who have made the greatest advances in their Jurisdiction, be examined, particularly Gregory 7. & Boniface 8. Vices more eminent than any can be charged on Henry 8. will be found in them. ibid p. 8.

A. So that all he has to answer for Henry 8. amounts to this, that others were as bad as he; this is a fort of Apology which we call Recrimination. Does that excuse any mans Crimes?

B. No, the blemishing them (viz. the Popes) will not (I confess) excuse our Resormers; therefore other things are to be considered for their Vindication, saith the Doctor, pag. 10. Pref. to his first vol.

A. What are those other things?

B. Why may not (faith he) an ill King do so good a work, as to fet a Reformation forward? Gods ways are a great deep, who has often shewed his Power and Wisdom in raising up unpromising instruments to do great Services in the world; not always employing the best men in them. Jehu did an acceptable Service to God in destroying the Idolatry of Baal, though neither the way of doing it is to be imitated, being groffly infincere; nor was the Reformation compleat, fince the Worship of the two Calves was still kept up. And it is very like, his chief design in it was to destroy all the party that favoured Ababs Family, yet the thing was good, and was rewarded by God. So, whatever this Kings other Faults were, and how defective soever the Change he made was, and upon what ill motives foever it may feem to have proceeded; yet the things themselves being good, we ought not to think the worse of them because of the Instrument, or manner by which they were wrought, Pref. pag. o. Thus the Doctor thinks he has sufficiently justified the English Resormation against the Objections that may arise from the Impieties or Vices of Henry 8. NOTE,

NOTE, Let the Reader observe here, how the Doctor takes that for granted, which is the matter in question; namely, that the English Reformation was a good work; and that God raised up Henry 8. to set it forward. Nay, the Doctor knows, it is utterly deny'd by the most considerable part of Christendom, both Greeks and Latins, that God raised him up otherwise than he is said to have hardned Pharaoh's heart, when he only gave him up to the Lusts and Cruelties of his own Heart. If the Doctors meaning be, that Henry 8. was raised up by an impulse or inspiration of Gods Spirit, to reform the Church, let him make that appear by some other Evidence than this surther Character, and we will believe him.

A. What is that further Character?

B. It will furprise some (saith the Doctor concerning his first Volumn) to see a Book of this bigness written of the History of our Reformation under the Reign of Henry VIII. fince the true beginnings of it, viz. Reformation, are to be reckoned from the Reign of Edward 6. (mark the Antiquity of the Protestant Church) in which the Articles of our Church and the Forms of our Worship were first compiled and set forth by Authority (by what Authority shall appear anon) and indeed in King Henrys time the Reformation was rather conceived than brought forth; and two Parties were in the last eighteen years of his Reign struggling in the Womb, having now and then advantages on either side, as the inconstant humour of that King changed, and as his Interests and often as his Passions swayed him: For being boisterous and impatient naturally, which was much heightned by his most extravagant Vanity, and high Conceit of his own Learning (strange Evidence of a Divine Mission) he was one of the most uncounsellable Persons in the World. Pref. page 5, and 6.

A. What was King Henry's Religion to his dying day?

B. Indeed in the whole Progress of those Changes (saith our Historian) the King's design seemed to have been to terrifie the Court of Rome, and cudged the Pope into a complyance with what he desired. For in his Heart he continued addicted to some of the most extravagant opinions of that Church, such as

Transubstantiation and the other Corruptions of the Mass; so that he was to his Lives end more Papist than Protestant. page 7.

Preface to I Vol.

NOTE Reader. King Henry went to Mass to his dying day : So did all these three Kingdoms to the first or second year of Edward VI. Here is yet no Evidence of God's having raised him up by any Impulse or Inspiration of his Holy Spirit to Reform the Church (if that were the Doctors meaning) only that God permitted him as he does other Sinners to Act those things for which they shall one day pay dearly. That some Popes have been no Saints, I shall not dispute it with the Doctor: But let kim shew, if he can, that any of the first Reformers, Henry VIII. Ann Bolen, Cranmer, Cromwell, Somerset, Northumberland, Ridly, &c. were sent or raised up by God to reform the Faith or Manners of the Church, and there is an end of the Controversie. The Doctor instances in David, Solomon, Jehu, who all had their failings; but how does that recommend or excuse our Reformers, who without any Comission or Inspiration from God presumed to reform, that is to say, subvert the Church wherein they were Baptized, and set up another after their own Fancies; who said let us take to our selves the Houses of God in Possession, Psal. 83. 12. Never any Pope had the Wickedness to do such things. And therefore to affirm, that God raised up such Persons to Plunder the Church under pretence of Reforming it, what is it better or worse then to make God the Author of their Sacriledge and Hypocrify?

A. But what say you to the Doctors words, Pref. page 7. that every National Church is a compleat Body within it self; so that the Church of England with the Authority and Concurrence of their Head and King may examine and reform all Errors, whether in Doctrine or Worship, &c. [If this be true, what needs any special Commission or Inspiration from God to Reform the Church? Why may not every National Church do it by their own Authority?] For saith the Doctor, a common Concurrence of other Churches was a thing scarce to be expected, and therefore this Church must be in a very ill condition, if there could,

be no endeavours for a Reformation till all the rest were brought

together, ibid. page 7.

B. The defign of this Catechilm, is to shew by the Doctors own Contession, that the English Reformation was not the Act and Deed of the National Church or Clergy of England; neither in the days of Henry VIII. nor of his Son Edward VI, nor of Queen Elisabeth; but impos'd upon the Nation by the Interest and Power of a few Persons for their own advantage, viz. the raising their Fortunes out of Church Lands. And when I have done this, I shall leave you to think what you please of Mr. King's Modesty, the Preacher of St. Warbroughs; who in a late thing which he calls an Answer to Dean Manby's Considerations, affirms very confidently, page 25. He (viz. Cranmer) in all he did, had the unanimous Vote and Consent of the major part of the Convocation, the universal Submission of the Clergy, and Approbation of the People. And a little after, But if the Clergy in a National Council, and the People in Obedience to them, or from their own Inclinations, did comply in earnest; what an idle Question is it to ask, by what Authority Cranmer condemned that Church from whom he received his Mission and Holy Orders? when she concurred in all he did, and approved, nay, made all the Alterations in ber Liturgy, Sacraments and Constitutions that were made, &c.

A. But I pray go on in the method which at first you prescribed to your self; and tell us in the Doctors words, the History of those who promoted the Reformation under Henry VIII. and his Son Edward VI. and then what observable passages you have met with touching the Reformation it self. I am satisfied that King Henry (by the Doctors Account of him) had little thoughts of Reformation whilst he had the least hopes of the Popes complyance in the matter of his Divorce from Queen Katherine.

B. The chief Apostles under Henry VIII. were Ann Bolen, Cromwell, Cranmer, Latimer, Shaxton, Barlow.

A. What were the extraordinary Vertues of Ann Bolen.

B. She favoured the Reformers; their chief Encouragement was from her, who reigned in the King's Heart as absolutely as he did

over his Subjects, and was a known Favourer of them: She took Shaxton and Latimer to be her Chaplains, ad soon after promoted them to the Bishopricks of Salubury and Worcester; and in all other things cherished and protected them, and used her most effectual endeavours with the King to promote the Reformation, page 171 of his first Vol. second Edition.

A. What more ?

B. Every Body admired Queen Ann's Conduct, who had managed such a Kings Spirit so long; and had neither surfeited him with great freedom [the Doctor speaks of ber cunning behaviour before the King married her,] nor provoked him by the other extream: For the King who was extreamly nice in these matters, conceived still an higher opinion of her. And her being so soon with Child after the Marriage, as it made people conclude she had been Chast till then, so they hoped for a Blessing upon it, since there were such early appearances of Issue. Those that savoured the Resormation expected better days under her Protection, for they knew she savoured them, page 132. 1 vol.

A. But how did this Zealous Reformer behave her felf after

her Marriage?

B. This being (faith the Doctor) one of the most memorable passages of King Henry's Reign, I was at more then Ordinary pains to learn all I could concerning it. ____ She was of a very cheerful temper, which was not always limited within the bounds of exact Decency and Discretion. She had rallied some of the King's Servants more than became her; her Brother the Lord Rochford was her Friend as well as Brother. But his spiteful Wife was jealous of him, and being a Woman of no fort of Vertue, she carried many Stories to the King, or some about him, to perswade that there was a familiarity between the Queen and her Brother, beyond what so near a Relation could justify. Henry Norris that was Groom of the Stool. Weston and Brereton that were of the King's Privy-Chamber, and one Mark Smeton a Musician, were all observed to have much of her Favour. And their Zeal in ferving her was thought too warm and diligent to flow from a less less active principle then Love. Many Circumstances were brought to the King which working upon his aversion to the Queen, together with his Assection to Mrs. Seymour made him conclude her Guilty. Yet somewhat which himself observed, or fancied at a Tilting at Greenwich, is believed to have given the Crisis to her Ruin. It is said, that he spied her let sall her Handker-chief to one of her Gallants to wipe his Face being hot after a course. See the rest page 197. I vol.

A. Did she confess nothing ?

B. She confessed this odd passage between her self, and Sir Henry Narris. That she once asked him, why he did not go on with his Marriage? Who answered her, that he would yet tarry some time: to which she replyed, you look for Dead Mens Shoos; for, if ought come to the King but good, you would look to have Me: He answered, if he had any such thought, he would his Head were cut off: Upon which she said, she could undo him if she pleased, and thereupon she tell out with him, page 199. As for Mark Smeton, who was then laid in Irons, she said, he was never in her Chamber but when the King was last at Winchester. And then he came in to play on the Virginals: She faid that she never spoke to him after that, but on Saturday before May-day, when she faw him standing in the Window; and then she asked him, Why he was so sad? he said it was no matter. She answered, you may not look to have me speak to you as if you were a Noble Man, fince you are an inferiour person. No, no Madam, said he, a Look sufficeth me, ibid. page 199. She seemed more apprehensive of Weston then of any Body. For on Whit-Sunday Morning last, he faid to her, that Norry came more to her Chamber upon her account than for any body else that was there. She had observed, that he loved a Kinswoman of hers, and challenged him for it. and for not loving his Wife. But he answered her, that there were Women in the House whom he loved better then them both; she asked, who is that? your self said he, upon which she faid, the defied him. ibid page 197.

A. What is the Doctors opinion of this?

B. It is certain her Carriage had given just cause of some Jealousy. page 206.

A. How did other people Censure her?

B. Her Carriage feemed too free, and all people thought (faith the Doctor) that some Freedoms and Levities in her had encouraged those unfortunate Persons to speak such bold things to her; since sew attempt upon the Chastity, or make Declarations of Love to Persons of so exalted a Quality, except they see some Invitations, at least in their Carriage. Others thought that a free and jovial Temper might with great Innocence though with no Discretion lead one to all those things that were proved against her. page 206.

A. I pray tell us the fum of her Story, as well what the Doctor

fays for her, as against her?

E It

B. You may affure your felf he fays nothing to her disadvan. tage, but what the meer force of Truth extorts from him: The only design of his History being to magnify the Reformation, and all the Friends thereof: He tells us page 202. She was indicted of High Treason, the Crimes charged upon her being these, viz. That she had procured her Brother, and the other four to Lye with her, which they had done often, and that she said to them that the King never had ber Heart, and had faid to every one of them by themselves, that she loved them better than any Person whatsoever, which was to the flander of the Issue that was Begotten between the King and Her, viz the Lady Elisabeth. It was also added in the Indictment that she and her Complices had conspired the Kings Death. But this it feems was only put in (faith the Doctor) to swell the Charge. When the Indictment was read she held up her hand and pleaded not Guilty, and fo did her Brother, and did Answer the Evidence that was brought in against her discreetly: One thing is remarkable, that Mark Smeton, who was the only Person that confessed any thing, was never confronted with the Queen, nor was kept to be an Evidence against her, having received his Sentence three days before, and fo could be no witness

ness in Law. But perhaps though he was wrought on to Confess, yet they did now think he had Confidence enough to aver it to the Queens Face, therefore the Evidence they brought, as Spelman says, was the Oath of a Woman that was Dead. Yet this, or rather the Terror of offending the King, so wrought on the Lords, that they sound her and her Brother Guilty, page 202. and Judgment was given that she should be Burnt.

A. Proceed.

B. Now she lying under so terrible a Sentence, it is most probable that either some hopes of Lise were given her, or at least she was wrought on by the assurances of mitigating that cruel part of her Judgment, of being Burnt, into the milder part of the Sentence of having her Head cut off. So that she confessed a Precontract (with the Lord Peircy,) and on the 17th of May was brought to Lambeth, and in Court, the afflicted Archbishop (Cranmer) sitting Judge, some Persons of Quality being present, she confessed some just and lawful Impediments, by which it was Evident that her Marriage with the King was not valid, upon which Confession her Marriage between the King and Her was judged to have been null and void. The Record of the Sentence is burnt (says the Doctor) but these particulars are repeated in the Act that passed the next Parliament touching the Succession to the Crown. page 203, 1 Vol.

NOTE. The Record of the Sentence annulling her Marriage, to be sure, was not burnt by the Enemies but Friends of Queen Elisabeth. That her Mother Ann made this Confession, the Doctor is positive, but upon what Reasons, he is not positive; only she lying under so terrible a Sentence, It is most probable (saith he) that either some hopes of Life were given her, or at least she was wrought on by the assurances of mitigating the cruel Sentence of being Burnt, into that of having her Head cut off ibid page 203.

A. The Doctor is a good Advocate.

B. Observe his following words, which are his own witty Reflections on this matter. viz. The two Sentences that were past upon the Queen, the one of Attainder for Adultery, the other of Divorce because of a Precontract, did so Contradict one another, that it was apparent one, if not both of them must be unjust: For if the Marriage between the King and Her was null from the beginning, then since she was not the Kings wedded Wise, there could be no Adultery. And her Marriage with the King was either a true Marriage or not; if it was true, then the annulling of it was unjust. And if it was no true Marriage, then the Attainder was unjust; for there could be no breach of that Faith

which was never given. p 203.

NOTE But it appears by her own Confession, that she had given her Faith both to the King and the Lord Peircy. Only the Dodor in his Margine there calls it an extorted Confession. Heylin relates the matter thus, History of Resormation pag. 259. The admirable attractions of which young Lady (Ann Bolen) had drawn the King so sast unto her, that in short time he gave her an absolute Sovereignty over all his Thoughts. But so long he concealed his Assections from her, that a great League and Intercourse was contracted betwixt her and the young Lord Peircy, the eldest Son of Henry Lord Peircy, who being brought up in the Cardinals Service, had many opportunities of confirming acquaintance with her. See the rest pag, 259.

Sir Henry Norris, Sir Francis Weston, William Brereton and Mark Smeton were tryed in Westminster Hall, They were twice indicted, and the Indictments sound by two Grand Juries in the Counties of Kent and Midalesex; the Crimes with which they were charged being said to be done in both those Counties. The three first pleaded Not Guilty, Mark Smeton consessed, he had known the Queen carnally three times: But the Jury upon the Evidence sormerly mentioned sound them all Guilty. Doctor

Burnet pag. 201, 202. first vol.

NOTE. If Mark Smeton belyed the Queen for the saving his Life, 'tis very strange, that at his Execution he did not declare the Truth for the Vindication of the Queens Honour and his own Innocency. But Heylin gives this account of it, pag. 264. which I will not conceal from the Reader; viz. From none of the Witnes-

fes they (namely the Kings Commissioners) were able to get any thing by all their Arts, which might give any ground for her Conviction, but that Mark Smeton had been wrought on to make some Confession of himselt to her Dishonour, out of a vain hope to fave his own Life by the loss of hers. Concerning which, Cromwell thus writes to the King, after the Prisoners had been thoroughly examined in the Tower by the Lords of the Council. Many things (faith he) have been objected, but nothing confessed, only some Circumstances have been acknowledged by Mark Smeton. It appears also by a Letter of Sir William Kingstons (fays Heylin) that he had much communication with her when she was his Prisoner; in which her Language seemed to be broken bewixt Tears and Laughter, out of which nothing could be gathered, but that she exclaimed against Norris, as if he had accused her. It was further fignified in that Letter, that she named some others who had obsequiously applied themselves to her Love & Service, acknowledging such passages, (mark this) though not sufficient to condemn her, as shewed she had made use of the utmost liberty which could be honeftly allowed her. ____ There was no Evidence against her, but the Consession of Smeton, and the Calumnies of the Lady Roebfort; of which the one was fooled into that Confession by the hope of Life, which notwithstanding was not pardoned, and the other most deservedly lost her Head within few years after. Heylin Hift; Reform. pag. 264, 265. I have added this favourable account out of Heylin, to let the Reader fee the mistake of that Character which Doctor Burnet gives of him in the Preface to his first volum. viz. He being wrought on by most violent prejudices against some that were concerned in that time, delivers many things in such a manner, and so Arangely, that one would think he had been secretly set on by those of the Church of Rome, &c. If ever Heylin were set on to serve the Church of Rome, it must be surely in the History of Ann Bolen, upon which there is so much depending in reference to the Birth and Title of Queen Elisabeth. But the Reader may find bim relating her whole Story so favourably, (I mean this Story of her Missortunes.)

tunes) that Burnet himself could not say more: yet whoever compares both Writers, shall find I have left out nothing material, that may be observed here in savour of Ann Bolen. Six Henry Norris was practised with to consess the Adultery, says Heylin, to which he made this generous Answer, that in his Conscience he thought her guiltless of the Crimes objected against her, &c. and the Lord Peircy took the Sacrament, wishing that the same might be his Damnation, if ever there were any Contract or Promise of Marriage betwixt her and him. Heylin p. 255, 256.

A. But she justifyed her Innocency in a Letter to the King

from the Tower, dated May 6. 1536. did she not?

B. You may find that Letter in Dr. Burnets Records annexed to his first vol. pag. 155. wherein she thus expresses her self; — But if you have already determined of me, and that not only my Death, but an infamous Slander must bring you the enjoyment of your desired happiness; then I desire of God that he will pardon your great sin therein, and that he will not call you to a strict account, for your unprincely and cruel usage of me, at his general Judgment-seat, where both you and my self must shortly appear, Sc.

A. What did she say at her Execution, May 19. 1536?

B. She spoke some words which I am not able to reconcile with that same passage of her Letter.

A. What were the words?

B. She prayed heartily for the King, and called him a most merciful and gentle Prince, and that he had been always to her a good, gentle, Soveregin Lord: She said, she was come to dye, as she was judged by the Law. She would accuse none, nor say any thing of the ground upon which she was judged. And if any would meddle with her Cause, she required them to judge the best. Dr. Burnet, 1. vol. p. 205.

NOTE. In her Letter from the Tower she objects to him his unprincely and cruel usage of her. And here at her Death she callshim a most merciful and gentle Prince, and that he had been always to her a good, gentle Sovereign Lord. I will not say, she affirmed at her Death Death what she did not believe, or that she complemented the King as having to the very last some hopes of his Mercy, but the Reader may consult Doctor Burnet, and try if he can find ought to reconcile these passages.

A. Tell us the rest of her Story.

B. The day before she dyed, upon a strict search of her past Lise, she called to mind that she had played the step Mother to the Lady Mary, and had done her many Injuries, upon which she desired the Lieutenant of the Towers Lady, and with many Tears, charged her, as she would Answer it to God to go in her name, and ask the Lady Mary's Forgiveness for the Wrongs she had done her, Sc. page 204. This ingenuity and tenderness of Conscience about lesser matters (this was but a Venial sin perhaps) is a great presumption (saith the Doctor) that if she had been Guilty of more eminent Faults, she had not continued to the last denying them.

NOTE. It is a wonder she did not affert her own Innocency upon the Scaffold. The Night before she suffered, she sent her last Message to the King, and acknowledged her self much obliged to him, that he had continued still to advance her, from a private Gentlewoman to a Marchioness, from that to a Queen, and now was sending her to be a Saint in Heaven, page 204. I Vol.

A. What were the feveral Opinions passed upon her?

B. The Doctor tells you, the Popish Party said, the Justice of God was visible, that she who had supplanted Queen Katherine, met with the like measure (he means by Jane Seymour.) Some took notice of her faint justifying her self on the Scassold, as if her Conscience had then prevailed so far, that she could no longer deny a thing for which she was so soon to Answer at another Tribunal. But others thought her care of her Daughter made her speak so tenderly; for she had observed that Queen Katherines obstinacy had drawn the King's Indignation on Lady Mary. Therefore she spoke in a stile, (says the Doctor) that could give the King no just offence, page 206. He proceeds; Some have since that time concluded it a great Evidence of her Guilt; that

during her Daughters (Queen Elisabeths) long and glorious Reign. there was no full nor compleat Vindication of her publisht. For the Writers of that time thought it enough to speak honorably of her, and in general to call her Innocent; but none of them ever at. tempted a clear discussion of the particulars laid to her Charge-This had been much to her Daughters Honour (faith Dr. Burnet;) and therefore fince it was not done, others concluded, it could not be done; and that their knowledge of her Guilt restrained their Pens. But others do not at all allow of that Inference; and think rather, that it was the great Wisdom of that time not to fuffer fuch things to be called in question: - therefore it was prudently done of that Queen (Elisabeth) and her great Ministers, not to suffer any Vindication or Apology to be written, &c. Some Indiscretions (saith the Doctor) could not be denied. p. 207. 1. vol. that is, 'tis confest on all bands, that Ann Bolen went to the very brink of Dishonesty.

A. Is there nothing else of her that is memorable?

B. King Henry advanced her (fays Heylin) to the Title of Marchioness of Pembroke on the first of September, 1532. assigning her a Pension of a Thousand pounds per annum out of the Bishop. rick of Durbam. History of Reform. p. 261. The new Queen confidering that the Pope and the had fuch different Interests that they could not both subsist together, resolved upon that course which Nature and Self-preservation seemed to dictate to her: but finding that the Pope was too well intrenched to be dislodged upon the sudden, it was advised by Cromwell, (made Master of the Rells upon her Commendation) to begin with taking in the Outworks first (meaning the lesser Monasteries to the number of about 376.) which being gained, it would be no hard matter to beat him out of his Trenches. p. 262. Those Houses were dis-Solved by Act of Parliament, anno 1535. to the passing whereof the Bishops and Mitred Abbots, which made the prevalent part of the House of Peers, contributed their Votes and Suffrages as others did; whether it were out of Pufillanimity, as not daring to appear in behalf of their Brethren, or out of a weak hope

-113

that the Rapacity of the Queen (mark this) and her Ministers would proceed no further, it is hard to say. Heylin, page 263. Certain it is (fays he) that by their improvident affenting to the present Grant, they made a Rod for their own Backs (as the faying is) with which they were fufficiently scourged within few years after, though the new Queen (observe) for whose sake Cromwell had contrived the Plot, did not live to see it. Ibid. page 263.

NOTE. She makes Cromwell Master of the Rolls, and he to serve her Interest, advises the King to suppress the Religious Hou-

les.

Heylin remarks further. When she thought her self most safe and free from Danger, she became most obnoxious to the Ruin prepared for her. It had pleased God on the eighth of January 1535. to put an end unto the Calamities of the Vertuous, but unfortunate Queen (Katherine,) into whose Bed she had succeeded. The News whereof she entertained with such Contentment that she caused her self to be Apparrell'd in lighter colours than was agreeable to the season, or the sad occasion: Whereas, if she had rightly understood her own Condition, she could not but have known that the long Life of Katherine was to be her best preservative against all Changes, page 263.

A. I pray let us hear Doctor Burnet's Character of Queen

Katherine?

B. She was a devout and pious Princess, and led a severe and mortify'd Life. In her Greatness she wrought much with her own Hands, and kept her Women well employed about her; as appeared when the two Legates came once to speak with her. She came out to them with a Skein of Silk about her Neck, and told them she had been within at work with her Women. She was most passionately devoted to the Interests of the Court of Rome: and in a word, she is Represented as a most wonderful good Woman. But Queen Ann did not carry her Death fo decently, for she expressed too much Joy at it both in her Carriage and Drefs. Burnet, page 192. I Vol. When

D

When Queen Katherine dound her Sickness like to prove Mortal, she made one about her write a Letter in her name to the King. In the Title, she called him her Dear Lord; King, and Hisband. She advised him to look to the Health of his Soul: She forgave him all the Troubles he had cast her into; and concluded, I make this Vow that mine Eyes desire you above all things. Ibid page 192 (1) and too bid sold one begins to the Health of his Soul.

A. Does he relate nothing further of Queen Katherine

B. When her Caufe was to be heard before the Legates Anno 1529. the King and the came personally into the Court. When the King and Queen were called on, the King answered Here. But the Queen left her Seat, and went and kneeled down before him. and made a Speech. She faid, She was a poor Woman and a Stranger in his Dominions, where she could neither expect good 'Council, nor indifferent Judges. She had been long his Wife, and defired to know wherein she had offended him: That she had been his Wife twenty years and more, and had born him feveral Children, and had ever studied to please him, and protested he had found her a true Maid, about which she appealed to his Conscience. She said, her Lawyers, who were his Sub-'jects and affigned by him, durst not speak freely for her. So 'she desired to be excused till she heard from Spain. Then she rose up and made the King a low Reverence and went out of the Court, and although they called after her, she made no Answer, but went away and would never again appear in Court. She being gone, the King did publickly declare what a true and obedient Wife she had always been, and commended her much for her excellent qualities. Burnet, page 73. 1 Vol.

A. Do you find that Ann Bolen ever repented her Carriage in

reference to this good and vertuous Princess A delivery

B. Not a Syllable of that have I met with in Burnet or Heylin. After Queen Ann's Death a Parliament was called to Repeal an Act of a former Parliament, concerning the Succession of the Crown to the Issue of the King by her: In this Parliament, (saith the Doctor) the Attainder of Queen Ann and her Complices is

confirmed: In the new Att of Succession, the is said to have been inflamed with Pride and Carnal defires of her Body, and having confederated her self with her complices, to have committed divers Treasons to the danger of the King's Royal Person; for which she had justly suffered Death, and is now attainted by Act of Parliament. Burnet, page 210. I Vol.

A. I pray of what Church did fhe dye and wasturning

B. The Doctor says nothing of that; the Church of England was not then in being; Mass being said at that time in all Churches of the Nation, and above ten years after. The Church of Romes Authority was then excluded by Act of Parliament, and that by her interest. So that of what Church she dyed I cannot resolve you, unless it were King Henry's Church; and that was no Protestant Church; the Doctrine of the six Articles being then in request: However she dyed a Saint if you believe her own words. And some think its no matter of what Church they Live or Dye, provided they be no Papists: But King Henry's Church was then scarcely three years old

A. Enough of your first Resormer Ann Boten; for whose sake King Henry fell out with the Pope, and made a Rupture in the Catholick Church. She was not the first nor will be the last Female Incendiary of Mischief and Quarrels in the World. Who

was the next Reformer under Henry VIII and signist and lo va

B: Thomas Crompell, vd and od onings on sale and div

A. What Tokens of an extraordinary Mission does Burnet ob-

B. He was a Man of mean Birth but noble Qualities,—only he made too much haste to be Great and Rich. He joyned himself in a firm Friendship to Cranmer, and did promote the Resormation very vigorously; Burnet, I Vol page 172. The Suppression of the Abbies was wholly laid at his door, page 276. He was attainted by Act of Parliament, Anno 1540. Wherein it is faid expressly, that the King having raised Thomas Cromwell from a base degree to great Dignities and high Trusts; yet he had now by a great number of Witnesses, persons of Honour, sound him to be

the most corrupt Traitor and Deceiver of the King and the Crown, that had ever been known in his whole Reign. That he had received several Bribes, and for them granted Licenses to carry Mony, Corn, Horses, and other things out of the Kingdom, contrary to the King's Proclamations; that he being also an Heretick had dispersed many Erroneous Books among the King's Subjects, particularly some that were contrary to the belief of the Sacrament; and when some had informed him of this, and had shewed him these Heresies in Books printed in England; he said, they were good, and that he found no fault in them: and faid, it was as lawful for every Christian Man to be a Minister of the Sacrament, as a Priest. And whereas the King had conflituted him Vice Gerent for the spiritual affairs of the Church, he had under the Seal of that Office Licensed many that were suspected of Heresie to Preach over the Kingdom. And had both by Word and Writing fuggested to several Sheriffs that it was the King's pleasure they should discharge many Prisoners, of whom some were indicted, others apprehended for Herefie. And when many particular Complaints were brought to him of detestable Heresies with the names of the Offenders, he not onely defended the Hereticks but feverely checkt the Informers. And vexed some of them by Imprisonment, and otherways. And he having entertained many of the King's Subjects about himfelf, whom he had infected with Herefie, and imagining he was by force able to defend his Treasons and Heresies, on the last of March in the 30th year of the King's Reign, in the Parish of St. Peters in London, when fome of them complained to him of the new Preachers, such as Barnes, and others; he said their Preaching was good; and said also among other things, that if the King would turn from it, yet he would not turn. And if the King did turn and all his People with him, he would fight in the field in his own Person with his Sword in his Hand against him and all others. And then he pulled out his Dagger, and held it up and said, or else this Dagger thrust Me to the Heart, if I would not dye in that quarrel against them all: And I trust if I live one year or two, it shall not be in the King's powerpower to resist, or let it if he would. And Swearing a great Oath, said, I would do so Indeed. He had also by Oppression and Bribery made a great Estate to himself, and extorted much Money from the King's Subjects, and being greatly enriched had treated the Nobility with much Contempt. For all which Treasons and Heresies he was attainted to suffer the pains of Death as should please the King, and to sorfeit all his Estate and Goods to the King's use. These are the Words of the Ast. Burnet, page 278.

A. How does the Doctor excuse him?

B. Most of these things relate to Orders and Directions he had given, for which it is very probable he had the King's Warrant. And for the matter of Heresie, it has appeared how far the King had proceeded towards a Resormation, so that what he did that way, was most likely done by the King's Orders. But the King now falling from these things, it was thought they intended to still him by such an Attainder, that he might not discover the secret Orders or Directions given him for his own justification,

page 279.

NOTE. It is very probable, it was most likely, it was thought, is all the Desence which the Doctor makes for him. Who having seen all his Papers, found it seems none of those Orders or Directions. How far the King had proceeded towards a Reformation was then apparent by the Statute of Six Articles, made purposely against the insolence of the new Preachers, anno 1539. And the King's aversion to Heresie no Man understood better than Cromwell: For in his Heart he continued (as is confessed by the Doctor) addicted to some of the most extravagant Opinions of the Roman Church, as Transubstantiation, &c. so that he was to his Lives end more Papist than Protestant; so the Doctor is pleased to express himself. Pres. to 1 Vol.

A. What Religion did Cromwell dye of?

B. When he was brought to the Scaffold, he acknowledged his Sins against God, and his Offences against his Prince, who had raised him from a base degree; he declared that he dyed in

the Catholick Faith, not doubting of any Article of Faith, or of any Sacrament of the Church; he denyed that he had been a Supporter of those who delivered ill Opinions. He consessed he had been seduced (mark this) but now dyed in the Catholick Faith. Burnet, page 284. By what he spoke at his Death he lest it much doubted of what Religion he dyed: But it is certain he was a Lutheran says Burnet, page 285. The term Catholick Faith used by him in his last Speech seemed to make it doubtful; but that was then used in England in its true Sence, in opposition to the Novelties of the See of Rome, page 285. ibid. So that his Prosession of the Catholick Faith was strangely perverted (says Burnet) when some from thence concluded that he dyed in the Communion of the Church of Rome, ibid.

NOTE. He dyed a Lutheran, equivocating with the words Catholique Faith: he knew Lutheranism was not allowed for Catholique Faith in England; King Henry and his Bishops being more Papists than Lutherans. He promoted the Reformation vigorously (saith the Doctor;) so that if the truth were known; he dyed of Ann Bolens Church, and that was a Church yet unborn; for in King Henrys time (as Burnet observes) the English Reformation was rather conceived than brought forth. Verily the Reformation seems to me a Riddle from first to last. If Cromwell was a Lutheran, he was at the same time both Vicar General and Heretique to King Henrys Church, as you may find in the Act of Attainder compared with the Statute of Six Articles.

A. Did he at his Death express any Remorse for destroying the Religious Houses, and alienating the Estates of the Church?

B. Not a word of that. I verily believe he thought he did. God good Service, and perhaps had done himself some Service out of those Estates.

A, What reason have you for that?

B. It is not unlike (says the Doctor) that some Presents to the Commissioners or to Cromwell made those Houses outlive this ruin, (he means some few Houses which K Henry had restor'd to the Monks;) for I find great trading in Bribes at this time, which is not to be won-

wondred at when there was so much to be shared p. 224. I vol. And the Act of Attainder says, that he had by Oppression and Bribery made a great Estate to himself, and extorted much Mony from the Kings Subjects, and being greatly enriched, had treated the Nobility with much Contempt. But the Doctor excuses him, pag. 279. For the particulars of Bribery and Extortion, they, being mentioned in general expressions, seem only cast into the heap to desame him. And, pag. 285, he carried his Greatness with wonderful Temper and Moderation; and sell under the weight of popular Odium rather than Guilt; for which the Doctor gives this reason, the Disorders in the Suppression of Abbies were generally charged on him ibid.

With his Fall the progress of the Reformation, which had been by his endeavours so far advanced, was quite stop'd. p. 285. For all that Cranmer could do after this, was to keep the ground they had gained; but he could never advance much surther ibid. With him the Office of the Kings Vice-Gerent in Ecclesiastical affairs dyed, as it rose first in his person. And, as all the Clergy opposed the setting up a new Officer, whose Interest should oblige him to oppose a Reconciliation with Rome; so it seems none were sound to succeed in an Office that proved so satal to

him. p. 285.

NOTE. All the Clergy at that time were for a Reconciliation with Rome, (that was the year 1540.) after their Deliverance from the Tyranny of Cromwell. By all the Clergy the Doctor means the major part, nay all, except Cranmer and two or three more, at

shall appear by and by out of Dr. Burnet.

Dr. Heylin remarks, Histor. Reform p. 11. ad annum 1540. King Henry advanceth his great Minister Cromwell (by whom he had made such havock of Religious Houses in all parts of the Realm) to the Earldom of Essex, and sends him headless to his Grave within three months after. And Dr. Burnet himself cannot but observe the Judgment of God upon Cromwell anno 1540. vizi His ruin was now decreed; and he, who had so servicely complied with the Kings Pleasure in procuring some to be attainted.

the year bear thout being brought to make their Answer, sell now under the same Severity. p. 227. 1. vol.

A. Howard Cromwell govern the Church?

B. First as King Henrys Vicar General, afterwards as Lord Wice-Gerent in Ecclesiastical matters. They were two different Places, and held by different Commissions. By the one he had no Authority over the Bishops, nor had he any Precedence; but the other, as it gave him the Precedence next to the Royal Family, fo it clothed him with a compleat Delegation of the Kings whole Power in Ecclesiastical matters. Burnet p. 181. By virtue of which Authority he fends out his Instructions to the Bishops how to proceed in a Reformation, and his Injunctions to the Clergy, which the Reader will find in Burnets Collection of Records. 1. vol. p. 181. Book 3. concluding thus; All which and fingular Injunctions I minister unto you and your Successors by the Kings Highness's Authority to me committed in this part, which I charge and command you by the same Authority to observe and keep upon pain of Deprivation, Sequestration of your Fruits, or fuch other Coercion as to the Kings Highness or his Vice-Gerent for the time being shall seem convenient. This was in the year 1538.

One of those Injunctions was this, viz. You shall suffer from hencesorth no Candles, Tapers, or Images of Wax to be set before any Image or Picture, but only the Light that commonly goeth a cross the Church by the Rood Lost, the Light before the Sacrament of the Altar, and the Light about the Sepulchre; which for the adorning of the Church and Divine Service ye shall suffer to remain: still admonishing your Parishioners that Images serve for none other purpose, but as the Books of unlearned men, that ken no Letters, whereby they might be otherwise admonished of the Lives and Conversation of them that the said Images do represent. Also that you shall expressly provoke, stir and exhort every person to read the Bible, admonishing them nevertheless to avoid all Contention, Altercation therein, and to use an honest Sobriety in the inquisition of

the true sense of the same, and refer the plication of obscure places to men of higher Judgment on Scripture.

NOTE. Such Admonitions were to no purpose; the Bible being once permitted into the rude hands of the Multitude. For what (say they) does he allow us to read the Scripture, and then debar us the use of our Understandings! Has not every man a Judgment of Discretion to read and interpret the Scripture for himself,

To as not to pin bis Religion on the fleeve of the Church?

Another of his Injunctions was, that you shall in Consessions every Lent examine every person (it seems private Consession was then in practice) whether they can recite the Articles of our Faith, and the Pater noster in English, and hear them say the same particularly; wherein if they be not persect, ye shall admonish them, that every Christian ought to know the same before they receive the blessed Sacrament of the Altar, and to learn the same more persectly by the next year sollowing. So you shall declare unto them, that you look for other Injunctions (mark this) from the Kings Highness by that time, to stay and repel all such from Gods Board, as shall be sound ignorant in the premises. Coll. p. 181.

A. So much for Cromwell, whose Religion or Church (whatever it was) is past my understanding. Go on, and tell us who is

your next Shint of the Reformation?

B. Thomas Cranmer Archbishop of Canterbury:

A. What Signs of an Apostle did appear in him?

B. Your Question is but rational, since Burnet affirms so positively, that he was a man raised up by God for great Services.

p. 335. 2. vol.

A. I know he was, next to Cromwell, the grand Projector of Reformation under Henry 8. but the thing that I expect from Burnet, is the proof of that Assertion, that he was a man raised up by God, in case he would oblige us to esteem the Resormation not to have been the work of Man but of God. Shall Cranmer take upon him to reform, that is, to pull down the established Religion of the Nation, coyn 39 Articles, and impose them on the Clergy,

the year before without being brought to make their Answer, fell now under the same Severity. p. 227. 1. vol.

A. How did Cromwell govern the Church?

B. First as King Henrys Vicar General, asterwards as Lord Vice-Gerent in Ecclesiastical matters. They were two different Places, and held by different Commissions. By the one he had no Authority over the Bishops, nor had he any Precedence; but the other, as it gave him the Precedence next to the Royal Family, so it clothed him with a compleat Delegation of the Kings whole Power in Ecclesiastical matters. Burnet p. 181. By virtue of which Authority he fends out his Instructions to the Bishops how to proceed in a Reformation, and his Injunctions to the Clergy, which the Reader will find in Burnets Collection of Records. 1. vol. p. 181. Book 3. concluding thus; All which and fingular Injunctions I minister unto you and your Successors by the Kings Highness's Authority to me committed in this part, which I charge and command you by the same Authority to observe and keep upon pain of Deprivation, Sequestration of your Fruits, or fuch other Coercion as to the Kings Highness or his Vice-Gerent for the time being shall seem convenient. This was in the year 1538.

One of those Injunctions was this, viz. You shall suffer from henceforth no Candles, Tapers, or Images of Wax to be set before any Image or Picture, but only the Light that commonly goeth a cross the Church by the Rood Lost, the Light before the Sacrament of the Altar, and the Light about the Sepulchre; which for the adorning of the Church and Divine Service ye shall suffer to remain: still admonishing your Parishioners that Images serve for none other purpose, but as the Books of unlearned men, that ken no Letters, whereby they might be otherwise admonished of the Lives and Conversation of them that the said Images do represent. Also that you shall expressly provoke, stir and exhort every person to read the Bible, admonishing them nevertheless to avoid all Contention, Altercation therein, and to use an honest Sobriety in the inquisition of the

the true sense of the same, and refer the Eplication of obscure places to men of higher Judgment on Scripture.

NOTE. Such Admonitions were to no purpose; the Bible being once permitted into the rude hands of the Multitude. For what (say they) does he allow us to read the Scripture, and then debar us the use of our Understandings! Has not every man a Judgment of Discretion to read and interpret the Scripture for himself,

To as not to pin his Religion on the fleeve of the Church?

Another of his Injunctions was, that you shall in Consessions every Lent examine every person (it seems private Consession was then in practice) whether they can recite the Articles of our Faith, and the Pater noster in English, and hear them say the same particularly; wherein if they be not persect, ye shall admonish them, that every Christian ought to know the same before they receive the blessed Sacrament of the Altar, and to learn the same more persectly by the next year sollowing. So you shall declare unto them, that you look for other Injunctions (mark this) from the Kings Highness by that time, to stay and repel all such from Gods Board, as shall be sound ignorant in the premises. Coll. p. 181.

A. So much for Cromwell, whose Religion or Church (whatever it was) is past my understanding. Go on, and tell us who is

your next Shint of the Reformation?

B. Thomas Cranmer Archbishop of Canterbury.

A. What Signs of an Apostle did appear in him?

B. Your Question is but rational, since Burnet affirms so positively, that he was a man raised up by God for great Services.

p. 335. 2. vol.

A. I know he was, next to Cromwell, the grand Projector of Reformation under Henry 8. but the thing that I expect from Burnet, is the proof of that Assertion, that he was a man raised up
by God, in case he would oblige us to esteem the Resormation
not to have been the work of Man but of God. Shall Cranmer take
upon him to resorm, that is, to pull down the established Religion
of the Nation, coyn 39 Articles, and impose them on the Clergy,

ds if he had thought the Scriptures obscure or insufficient in things necessary; (the major part of the Christian World protesting against it as new Doctrine) and all this by a meet humane Authority, an Act of Parliament passed under the Childhood of Edward 6?

B. As for the marks of his Apostleship, take the History of his Actions compared with Burnets Character, and then satisfie your self the best you can. Warham Archbishop of Canterbury dying in the year 1533. King H. faw well of how great importance it was to the Defigns he was then forming (viz. his Divorce from Q Katherine, &c.) to fill that See with a learned, prudent, and resolute Man; but finding none in the Episcopal Order (that is amongst all the English Bishops) that was qualified to his Mind, (note thu) and having observed a native simplicity joyned with much Courage, and rempered with a great deal of Wisedom in Doctor Cranmer, who was then Negotiating his business among the searned Men of Germany, he of his own Accord, without any Addresses from Cranmer, designed to raise him to that Dignity; and gave him notice of it, that he might make hafte and come home to enjoy that reward which the King had appointed for him. But Cranmer having received this News, did all he could to excuse himself from the Burden which was coming upon him; and therefore he returned very flowly to England; hoping that the Kings thoughts cooling, some other Person might step in between him and a Dignity, of which having a just and primitive Sense, he did look on it with Fear and Apprehension rather than Joy and Desire: This was fo far from fetting him back, that the King was thereby confirmed in his high Opinion of him; and neither the delays of his Journey, nor his Intreaties to be delivered from a Burden which his humility made him imagine himself unable to bear, could divert the King (and good reason why, because amongst all the Bishops he found no Man else for his purpose. So that the fix months elapsed before the thing was settled, yet the King persisted in his Opinion, and the other was forced to yield, Burnet, page 127. Now let the Reader observe Doctor Heylin's account of Cranmer's backwardness to accept that Preferment. viz. Warham Archbishop of

Can-

Canterbury dying, Cranmer is designed for his Successor in that eminent Dignity, which he unwillingly accepts of, partly in regard that he was Married at that time, and partly in reference to an Oath which he was to take to the Pope at his Consecration. But the King was willing for his own ends to wink at the one, (viz, his Marriage) and the Pope was not in a Condition, as the Case then stood, to be too peremptory in the other. Heylin,

Hift. Reform. page 177.

Burnet says further, though Cranmer was a Man of too great Candour and Simplicity to be refined in the Arts of Policy, yet he managed his Affairs with great Prudence (that is to say, respect to his interest) which did so much recommend him to the King that no ill Offices were ever able to hurt him, page 172. I Vol. In the end of January 1533. the King sent to the Pope for the Bulls for Cranmer's Promotion; and though the Statutes were passed against procuring more Bulls from Rome; yet the King says Burnet) resolved not to begin the Breach till he was forced to it by the Pope (that is, whilst there were any hopes of the Popes consenting to bis Marriage with Ann Bolen) On the other hand the Pope had no mind to precipitate a Rupture with England, therefore consented to Cranmer's Promotion, page 128.

A. I pray let us hear Doctor Burnet's account of his Confe-

cration, and taking the Oath to the Pope.

B. Cranmers Bulls being sent into England, he was on the 13th of March, Anno 1533. confectated by the Bishops of London, Exeter, and Saint Asaph. But here a great Scruple was moved by him concerning the Oath that he was to Swear to the Pope, which he had no mind to take. And Writers near that time say, the dislike of that Oath (observe this) was one of the Motives that made him so unwillingly accept of that Dignity. He declared that the Obligation which that Oath brought upon him would bind him up from his Duty to God, the King, and the Church page 128, 129. 1 Vol.

A. I would fain hear the words of that Oath before you go

any further.

B. Ego T. Electus Ecclesiæ C. Episcopus, ab hac hera fidelis & obediens ero beato Petre Apostolo Sanctæque Romanæ Eccle. fix, &c. IT. Bishop of C. from this hour forward shall be faithful and obedient to Saint Peter, and to the Holy Church of Rome; and to my Lord the Pope, and his Successors Canonically entring. shall not be of Council nor Consent that they shall lose either Life or Member; or shall be taken or suffer any violence or wrong by any means. Their Councel to me credited, their Messengers or Letters I shall not willingly discover to any Person. The Papacy of Rome, the Rules of the Holy Fathers, and the Regality of Saint Peter I shall belp and maintain and defend against all Men. The Legate of the See Apostolick going and coming I shall honorably intreat. The Rights, Honours, Priviledges, Authority's of the Church of Rome and of the Pope, and his Successors I shall cause to be conserved, defended, augmented and promoted. I shall not be in Council, Treaty, or any Act, in the which any thing shall be imagined against Him or the Church of Rome, their Rights, Seats, Honours, or Powers. And if I know any Such to be moved or compassed, I Stall refist it to my power; and as soon as I can, I shall advertise him, or such as may give bim Knowledge. The Rules of the Holy Fathers, the Decrees, Ordinances, Sentences, Dispositions, Reservations, Provisions and Commandments Apostolick to my Power I shall keep, and cause to be kept of others. Hereticks, Schismaticks and Rebels to our Holy Father and bis Successors I shall resist and prosecute to my Power: I shall come to the Synod when I am called, except I be letted by a Canonical Impediment. The Thresholds of the Apostles I shall visit yearly Personally, or by my Deputy. I shall not alienate or sell my Possessions without the Popes Counsel. So God help Me and the Holy Evangelists, p. 123.

A. Did he take this Oath in Terminis?

B. Yes, and you shall hear how: His Scruple being communicated to some of the Canonists and Casuists (saith Burnet) they found a Temper that agreed better with their Maxims then Cranmers Sincerity; which was, that before he should take the Oath, he should make a good and formal Protestation, that he did not intend

intend thereby to restrain himself from any thing that he was bound to, either by his Duty to God, or the King, or the Countrey, and that he renounced every thing in it that was contrary to any of these. This Protestation he made in Saint Stephens Chappel at Westminster, in presence of some Doctors of the Canon Law, before he was censecrated; and he afterwards repeated it when he took the Oath to the Pope, by which, if he did not wholly save his Integrity, (note this) yet it was plain, he intended no Chear, but to act fairly and above board. page 129.

NOTE Topon the like Protestation he might have taken another Oath to be true to Mahomet. The Dr. is here at his wits end for an Excuse: but consesses he did not swear like a sincere Christian. He intended no Cheat, but to act sairly and above board; viz. He would take the Oath, but so, God help him and the holy Evangelists, if ever he meant to observe one syllable of it. Reader, in all ages those that have been sent by God for the Resormation of the World, and Restoration of ancient Piety, have appeared to be Persons of extraordinary Sanctity at least, if not recommended by Miracles: in this Resormer there is yet no appearance of either.

A. After his Consecration what was the first Service he did

for the King?

B. The Parliament then sitting (anno 1533.) he came and sat in the upper House of Convocation, Burnet p. 129. and there (says Heylin) he propounds two Questions to be disputed and considered by the Bishops and Clergy, concerning the Kings Marriage with Queen Katherine: both which Questions were answered as the King would have have it, though not without some opposition in either House, especially the upper. It was concluded thereupon in the Convocation, and not long after in the Parliament also, that the King might lawfully proceed to another Marriage. But

NOTE. The King was then privately married to Ann Bo

len.

Heylin proceeds. These Preparations being made, and the Marriage (with Queen Katherine) precondemned by Convocation. The new Archbishop (upon his own desire and motion, contained in his Letters of the 11th of April) is authorized by the King under his Sign Manual to proceed definitively in the Cause (mark the Authority upon which Cranmer proceeds to divorce Queen Katherine,) who thereupon, accompanied by the Bishops of London, Winchester, Wells, and Lincoln, and divers other persons to serve as Officers in that Court, repaired to Dunstable in the beginning of May, and having a convenient place prepared in form of a Confiftory, they fent a Citation to the Princess Dowager (meaning Q Katherine) who was then at Amptbill, a Mannor House of the Kings about six miles off; requiring her to appear before them at the day appointed; which day being come, and no appearance by her made either in person or by proxy (as they knew there would not) she is called peremptorily every day fifteen days together; and every day there was great posting betwixt them and the Court, to certifie the King and Cromwell (a principal Stickler in this business) how all matters went; in one of which from the new Archbishop, extant in the Cottonian Library, a resolution is signified to Cromwell for coming to a final Sentence on Friday the 18th of that Month, but with a vehement Conjuration both to him and the King, not to divulge so great a Secret, for sear Queen Katherine, on the hearing of it, either before, or on the day of passing Sentence, should make her appearance in the Court. For (faith he) if the Noble Lady Katherine should, upon the bruit of this matter, either in the mouths of the inhabitants of the Country, or by her Friends or Council hearing of it, be counselled or persuaded to appear before me at the time or before the time of Sentence, I should be thereby greatly Staid & let in the Process; and the Kings Graces Council there present shall be much uncertain what to do therein: For a great bruit and voice of the people in this behalf might perchance move her to do the thing, which peradventure she would not, it she hear little of it: and therefore I pray you to speak as little of this matter as you may, and and to move the Kings Highness so to do, for consideration above re-

cited. Heylin Histor. Reform. pag. 177,178.

NOTE. Burnet commends Cranmer for his native Simplicity, joyned with Prudence: but what fort of Prudence this was, let any man judge. Thus Heylin sets down the naked truth of this matter, which Burnet colours with all his Art; not mentioning this Letter

to Cromwell, p. 130. 1. vol.

He proceeds, ibid. But so it happened to their wish, that the Queen persisting constant in her resolution of standing to the Judgment of no other Court than that of Rome, vouchsafed not to take any notice of their proceeding in the Cause; and thereupon she was pronounced to be Contumax for defect of Appearance: and, by the general Consent of all the learned men then present, the Sentence of Divorce was passed, and her Marriage with the King declared void and of no effect. Heylin page 178. Of this more anon.

A. But if you please, I would know of what Church or Religion was Cranmer at the time of his Consecration and afterwards? and likewise what were the Words of his Mission and

Confecration both as Bishop and Priest?

B. First as to his Religion, I will tell you my own opinion: A Papist he could not be at that time, because he denied the Popes Supremacy and other Doctrines of the Church of Rome. Of the present Church of England he could not be, because he went to Mass then and 14 years after: so that he must be of King Henry's Church, or of none at all. In the year 1538. he was (fays Burnet) of Luthers Opinion, which he had drunk in from his Friend Ofiander. pag. 252. 1. vol. And fate upon the Tryal and Condemnation of John Lambert, one of the new Preachers, for denying the Corporal Presence of Christ in the After the Death of K. Henry (of whom he stood in aw he tackt about with the next wind of Doctrine, which was Zuinglianism; and joyning with King Edwards privy Counsellors, he reformed, that is, subverted the established Religion of the Nation. Yet in the first year of King Edward, Heylin tells you that

that he with eight other Bishops all in their rich Mitres and other Pontificals sang a Mass of Requiem, for the Soul of Francis the French King then lately deceased, History Resorm. page 40. Notwithstanding that he with the rest of the Privy-Council had a good while before sent out their Injunctions and Commissioners into all parts of the Nation, and Preachers to attend them; which Preachers were particularly instructed to disswade the people from praying for the Dead, from Diriges and Masses, &c. Heylin, p. 34. yet Burnet would perswade you, he was a Person of a native simplicity, and not for Court Policies, vide p. 302.

A. And besides all this, did he not hold some strange Opi-

nions?

B. Yes, Doctor Burnet says, he had some particular Conceits of his own, or singular Opinions which he delivered with all possible Modesty, page 289. 1 Vol.

A. What were those Opinions?

B. You shall hear them together, with the excuse which the Doctor makes for him. First, That Bishops and Priests were at one time, and were not two things, but one Office in the beginning of Christs Religion. In which Opinion all the Bishops and Clergy of England, except two Bishops and two Doctors were against him.

Burnet, Collection Records, page 223.

2. A Bishop may make a Priest, and so may Princes and Governours also; and that by the Authority of God committed to them, and the *People* by their *Election*: For as we read that Bishops have done it, so Christian Emperors and Princes usually have done it; and the People, before Christian Princes were, commonly did Elect their Bishops and Priests.

But all the rest of the Bishops and Clergy, except the Bishop of Saint Davids, and two or three Doctors, said positively that they found no example either in Scripture, or the ancient Doctors, that any

Man beside a Bishop hath Authority to make Priests.

3. In the New Testament he that is appointed to be a Bishop, or a Priest needeth no Consecration by the Scripture; for Election or Appointing thereunto is sufficient. This all the Bishops

Priests by imposition of Hands, with Fasting and Prayer; and Dr. Redmayn said, the Office of Priesthood is too dangerous a thing to be undertaken (to be set upon are his words) when one is but appointed only; therefore for the Consumation of their Faith who take in hand such a Gharge, and for the obtaining of surther Grace requisite to the same, Consecration was ordained by the holy Ghost,

and hath been always used from the beginning, page 230.

4. To this Question, whether a Christian Prince having conquered certain Dominions of Insidels, and having none about him but Lay men, He and They may not by the Law of God Preach and Teach God's Word, and also make and constitute Priests? Cranmer Answers positively; It is not against God's Law, but contrary they ought indeed so to do: and there be Histories that witness that some Christian Princes, and other Lay men unconsecrate have done the same. Reader, The English Bishops perhaps were not aware of his design in proposing this and such like Questions; which was to nooze them into a Concession under their Hands, that the Prince might-Preach himself, and Authorize others to preach Reformation in case of necessity; that is, If his Clergy and Bishops would not com-

ply, as Cranmer knew they would not.

5. To this Question, Whether a Man is bound by the Authority of this Scripture, Quorum remiseritis peccata, remissa sunt, &c. to Consess his secret deadly Sins to a Priest? He answers point blank, that no Man is bound. All the Bishops and Clergy (three or sour excepted) honestly affirming, that by Authority of those words, Christians are bound to Consess their secret as well as open Sins: That Priests are bound to give Absolution, but no Priest can Absolve from that Sin which he knows not. Doctor Tresham answered, Such Consession is a thing most Consonant to the Law of God: and that it is a wise point, and a wholsome thing so todo. And God (said he) provoketh and allureth us thereunto by giving active Power to Priests to Absolve in these words, Whosever Sins ye remit, they are remitted, &c. It is also a safer way for Salvation to Consess, if we may have a Priest, page 238. Collections:

F. Doctor

Doctor Edgeworth answered worthily, that to obtain Remission of Sin and Recover the Grace of God, a Man is bound by the Law of Nature to take the furer way. And because (said he) we are bound to Love God above all things, we ought by the fame Bond to feek the best and furest Remedy for the Recovery of his Grace. Contrition is one way, but because a Man cannot be well assured, whether his Contrition, Attrition or Displeasure for his Sins be sufficient to satisfie Almighty God, or worthy to obtain his Grace; therefore it is necessary to take that way that will not fail, and by which thou mayest befure; and that is Ab-Solution by the Priest, which by Christ's promise will not deceive thee, so that thou put no obstacle or bar in the way; that is, if thou do not then actually Sin inwardly or outwardly, but intend to receive what the Church intends to give Thee by that Absolu tion. Now the Priest can give thee no Absolution from that Sin which he knows not, therefore thou art bound to confess thy Sin. Thus Doctor Edgeworth, page 237. Burnet's Collections. I wish Cranmer had half the honesty or piety of this Man.

Doctor Leighton answered, I think such only as have not the knowledge of the Scripture, be bound to consess their secret deadly Sins unto a Priest. Howbeit, no Man ought to despise such Auricular Consession; for I suppose it to be a Tradition Apostolical, necessary for the unlearned Multitude. Thus he page 238.

Collections.

6. To this Question, Whether only Bishops and Priests may Excommunicate by God's Law; he answers, a Bishop or a Priest by the Scripture is neither commanded nor forbidden to Excommunicate; but where the Laws of any Country giveth him Authority to Excommunicate, there they ought to use the same in such Crimes as the Laws have such Authority in, and where the Laws of the Region forbiddeth them, there they have no Authority at all. And they that be no Priests may also Excommunicate if the Law allow thereunto.

NOTE. That in Queen Mary's days, Catholick Religion being restored by Law, Cranmer had by his own Confession no Power of the Keys.

Keys. Nay, every Constable might Excommunicate as well as he, if the Law gave power. These were strange Opinions for an Archibishop and Reformer of the Faith; he subscribed thus, 'Thom. Cantuariens. this is my Opinion and Sentence at this present, which 'I do not temerariously define, but do remit the Judgment therefor wholly unto your Majesty, that was Henry VIII.

A. How does Burnet excuse him for these Opinions?

B. Very oddly, as a Man would think, viz. it feems that afterwards he changed his Opinion, for he subscribed the Book that was foon after fet out, which is directly contrary to these Opinions, that is to say, in the year 1540. he subscribed to a Book for seven Sacraments, for Transubstantiation, for the profitable use of Images to put us in mind of the great Blessings we have received by our Saviour; for desiring the Prayers of Saints as Intercessors, being the Doctrine of the Catholick Church. For the use of the Hymnicalled Ave Maria, in Commemoration of Christs Incarnation and to set forth the Praises of the blessed Virgin. Of all which particu. lars he believed not a syllable; though he subscribed with the rest of the Bishops. Nay, Burnet tells you plainly, page 289. that he was then for reducing the seven Sacraments to two, but the Popish Party was prevalent at that time. So the old number of seven was agreed to anno 1540. that is, the major vote of Bishops carried it against him, and he durst not but subscribe for fear of King Henry. In the year 1536, which was but four years before, he gave his subscription to a Book for three Sacraments onely; wherein was declared the necessity of Auricular Confession; and that it was good to pray unto the Saints to pray for and with us: Of which he believed not a tittle. See Burnet, page 217. so that by what he subscribed it can never be gathered that he guitted those Strange Opinions.

A. But after all this, did he not renounce the Protestant Re-

ligion in Queen Mary's days?

B. Yes, the Popish Party have but too great advantages against him (says Burnet) in the last part of his Life. The Fears of Death wrought that effect on him that he did recant, which he F 2 figned

figned thrice. Appendix to 2. vol. pag. 400. So, for all his Recantation, he was led out to be burnt; and then he returned back to his former Doctrines, (mark this) and expressed his Repentance for his Apostacy with all the seriousness that was possible, ibid. pag. 400.

A. I pray, if you have read Fexes Book of Martyrs, what is

his Character there?

B. In Causes pertaining to God or his Prince, no man more stout or more constant than he. 3. vol. p. 633.

A. Then let us hear the words of his Recantation fet down by

Fox, which he figned thrice, fays Burnet.

B. [I Thomas Cranmer, late Archbishop of Canterbury, do renounce, abhor and detest all manner of Heresies and Errors of Luther and Zuinglius, and all other Teachings which be contrary to sound and true Doctrine. And I believe most constantly in my heart, and with my mouth I consess one holy and Catholique Church visible, without the which there is no Salvation. And therefore I acknowledge the Bishop of Rome to be Supream Head on Earth; whom I knowledge to be the highest Bishop and Pope, and Christs Vicar, unto whom all Christian people ought to be Subject.

And as concerning the Sacraments, I believe and worship in the Sacrament of the Altar the very Body and Blood of Christ, being contained most truly under the forms of Bread and Wine, the Bread, through the mighty Power of God, being turned into the Body of our Saviour Jesus Christ, and the Wine into his Blood. And in the other six Sacraments also, like as in this, I believe and hold as the Universal Church holdeth, and the Church

of Rome judgeth and determineth.

Furthermore, I believe that there is a place of *Purgatory*, where Souls departed be punished for a time, for whom the Church doth godly and wholsomly pray, like as it doth honour Saints, and make prayers to them.

Finally, in all things I profess, that I do not otherwise believe than the Catholique Church and the Church of Rome holdeth

and teacheth. I am forry that ever I held or thought otherwise. And I beseech Almighty God that of his Mercy he will vouchfase to forgive me, whatsoever I have offended against God or his Church. And also I desire and beseech all Christian people to

pray for me.

And all such as have been deceived either by mine Example or Doctrine, I require them by the Blood of Jesus Christ, that they will return to the Unity of the Church, and the Supream Head thereof. So I submit my self unto the most excellent Majesties of Philip and Mary King and Queen of this Realm of England, &c. and to all other their Laws and Ordinances; being ready always as a faithful Subject to obey them. And God is my Witness, that I have not done this for favour or fear of any Person, but willingly and of mine own mind, as well to the Discharge of mine own Conscience, as to the Instruction of others.

A. Did he not afterwards retract these words?

B. Yes, when he faw no hopes of his Pardon; and being brought to the Stake, he made a very good Exhortation to the people, faying (as Fox relates it,) [It is an heavy case to see that so many Folk so much dote upon the Love of this false World, and so careful for it, (it seems a Spanish Fryar had given bim good hopes of his Life, but without any Authority from the Queen, as Fox confesses) that for the Love of God or the World to come they feem to care very little or nothing: therefore this shall be my first Exhortation, that you set not your minds overmuch upon this glazing world, but upon the world to come, (I wish he had seriously thought upon this when he so obsequiously followed all the Appetites of Henry 8. by divorcing him first from his most vertuous and innocent Wife Q Katherine, then from Ann Bolen, then from Ann of Cleves;) and to learn to know what this Lesson meaneth which Saint John teacheth, that the Love of this world is enmity against God, &c. And now for as much as I am come to the last end of my life, I shall therefore declare unto you my very Faith how I believe, without any colour or dissimulation: for now is no time to dissemble, whatsoever I have said or written in times past (mark that;) and now I come to the great thing that so much troubleth my Conscience more than any thing that ever I did or said in my life; and that is, the setting abroad of a Writing (he means his Recantation) contrary to the Truth, which now here I renounce and resuse, as things written with my hand contrary to the Truth, which I thought in my heart, &c. And as for the Pope, I resuse him as Anti-christ, &c. Fox 3, vol. p. 669, 670.

A. What further instances have you met with in Fox, of his

Constancy to his Religion?

B. He did adventurously oppose himself against the whole Parliament, disputing and replying three days together against the Statute of Six Articles, pag. 641. that was in the year 1539.

A. What was the true Reason of so much Courage, at that time, in a man of such Prudence, that before and after still went

along with the Stream.

B. Dr. Burnet will inform you. The third Article of that Statute was this, That Priests after the Order of Priesthood might not marry by the Law of God. And if any Priest did still keep any Woman whom he had married, and lived samiliarly with her as his Wise, he was to be judged a Felon, &c. This, says Burnet, touched Cranmer to the quick, for he was then married, p. 257,259. 1. vol.

A. Does Fox fay nothing of Cranmers Marriage?

B. He tells you, page 647. that the King extended such especial Favour unto him, that being not ignorant of his Wise (Neece to Osiander) whom he had married at Norimberg, and of his keeping her all the time of the Six Articles contrary to Law; he both permitted the same, and kept Cranmers Counsel.

A. What other particulars have you observed in Fox?

B. The Lord Cromwell was wont to fay unto Cranmer, My Lord of Canterbury, you are most happy of all men; for you may do and speak what you list; and say what all men can against

gainst you, the King will never believe one word to your detriment. I am sure, I take more pains than all the Council bessides, and spend more largely on the Kings Assairs, as well besyond the Seas as on this side; yea, I assure you, for very Spyes in soreign Realms, at Rome and elsewhere, it costs me above a Thousand Marks a year; and do what I can to bring matters to light for the commodity of the King and the Realm, I am every day chidden, and many salse Tales now and then believed against me; and therefore you are most happy, for in no point can you be discredited with the King. The Archbishop answered, If the Kings Majesty were not good to me, I were not able to stand one whole week. p. 643. 3. vol.

Fox tells you further, how certain of the Council declared plainly to the King about that time, that the Realm was so insected with Heresies & Heretiques, that it was dangerous for His Highness surther to permit it; lest peradventure by long suffering, such Contention should arise, and ensue in the Realm amongst his Subjects, that thereby might spring horrible Rebellions and Uproars, like as in some parts of Germany it happened not long ago; the Enormity whereof they could not impute to any so

much as to the Archbishop of Canterbury, p. 641, 642.

But the King, says Fox, most entirely loved him, and always stood in his desence whosoever spake against him; and once said to some Lords of his Council, I protest (solemnly laying his hand upon his breast) by the Faith which I ow to God, I take this man, my Lord of Canterbury, to be of all other a most saithful Subject to us, and one to whom We are much beholding. p. 643.

A. Wherein had he obliged the King?

B. Doctor Burnet tells you (page 127.) that in the year 1533. the King seeing of how great importance it was to the designs he was then sorming (namely his Divorce from Queen Katherine, his advancement to the title of Supream Head of the Church, and seizure of Abby lands, &c.) to fill the Sec of Canterbury with a learned, prudent and resolute man, but finding none in the Episcopal

piscopal Order, that was qualified to his mind, (these are Burnets words;) and having observed a native simplicity, joyned with much Gourage in Dr. Cranmer, he designed to raise him to that Dignity, and gave him notice of it ibid.

A. Pray, what did they lay to his Charge in Queen Marys

time, and what Defence did he make?

B. In Saint Mary's Church at Oxford, on the 12th of March, anno 1556. Doctor Brooks Bishop of Glocester charged him as followeth. My Lord, at this present we are sent by Commission partly from the Popes Holyness, partly from the King and Queens most excellent Majesties, not to your utter discomfort, but to your comfort, if you will your felf; not to judge you, but to put you in Remembrance of what you have been. Neither come we to Dispute with you, but to Examine you in certain matters, which being done, to make Relation thereof to him that hath power to judge you. And first, as Charity doth move us, I think good to exhort you by the words of Saint John. Remember from whence you are fallen, and do your first works. You have fallen from the universal Church of Christ, from the very true and received Faith of all Christendom, and that by open Here. sie: You have fallen from your promise to God, from your Fidetity and Allegiance, and that by open Preaching, by Marriage and Adultery: You have fallen from your Sovereign Prince and Queen by open Treason, &c. and although it may be conjectured that in all your time ye were not upright in the Honour and Faith of Christ; but rather set up of purpose as a sit instrument (note this) whereby the Church might be spoiled and brought into ruin; yet it may appear by many your doings otherwise, and I for my part, as it behoveth each one of us, shall think the best. For who was thought to have more Conscience of observing the Order of the Church? More earnest in the desence of the real presence of Christ's Body and Blood in the Sacrament of the Altar than yee were? Then all things prospered with you, your Prince savoured you; your Candlestick was set up in the highest place of the Church, and the light of your Candle was over all the

the Church. But after ye began to fall by Schism, and would stoutly uphold the unlawful requests of King Henry VIII. then began you to fancy unlawful liberty. When yee had exiled a good Conscience, when you had forsaken God, God forsook you, and gave you over to your own will, and suffered you to fall into Schism and Heresie, and from that to Perjury, and from Perjury to Treason, and so in conclusion, into the sull Indignation of our Sovereign Prince, which you may think a just punishment of

God for your other abominable Opinions.

But here peradventure you will fay tome; what Sir, my fall is not fo great as you make it. I have not yet fallen from the Catholick Church; for that is not the Catholick Church that the Pope is Head of, there is another Church. To which I answer. you are as fure of that as the Donatift's were; for they faid, they had the true Church; and that true Christians remained only in Africa, where only their Seditious Sell was preached. And as you think, so thought Novatus, that all who did acknowledge the Supremacy of Rome, were out of the Church of Christ. Saint Cyprian desending Cornelius Bishop of Rome against this Novatus, Lib 2. Epist. 6. saith, Ecclesia una est, quæ cum sit una, intus & foris effe non potest. So that if Novatus was in the true Church, then was not Cornelius, who by lawful Succession succeeded Pope Fabian. Here Saint Cyprian intends by the whole process to prove, and concludeth thereupon, that the true Church was only Rome. But you will fay perhaps, that you fell not by Herefie; fo faid the Arrians alledging Scripture for themselves, and going about to perswade their Herefie by Scripture.

So did the Marcions appeal to Scripture, to Scripture not truly interpreted, but wrested according to their own Fancies. And the Church replyeth against them qui estis vos? from whence came you? What right have you to the Scriptures, which are the Churches Inheritance? Also yee will deny that yee have sallen by Apostacy and breaking your Vow; and so Vigilantius said, and would admit none to his Ministry, but such as had their Wives bagg'd with Children. What then shall we say that Vigilantius sell

G

not, that Donatus and Novatus were no Scismaticks, because they pretended Scripture in their own Desence? then let every Man believe as he lists, and quote Scripture for it: So that your denyal will not avail you. Therefore I tell you, remember from whence you are fallen. Age panitentiam & prima opera fac. If yee remember how many yee have brought by abominable Heresie into the way of Perdition, I doubt not but very Conscience would move you as well for them as for your self to return again; qui convertere secerit peccatorem ab errore vita sua salvam saciet animam suam a Morte & operiet multitudinem peccatorum suorum. He that shall convert a Sinner from his Wickedness shall save his Soul from Death, and shall cover a multitude of Sins: So on the contrary, it must needs be true, he that perverteth a Soul, and teacheth him the way of Perdition must needs be Damn'd.

Berengarius seemed to sear that danger; provided for it in his Lise time, and did not only repent but recant; and not so much for himself as for them whom he had insected by his abominable Heresies. For as he lay on his Death bed upon the day of Epiphany, he demanded of them that were present, is this the day of Epiphany and appearing of our Lord? They answered him Yes; then (said he) this day shall the Lord appear to me either to my comfort or discomfort. This Remorse argues, that he seared the danger of them whom he had seduced from the Faith of Christ.

Let this move you even at the last point; as your Case is not unlike to Berengarius, so let your Repentance be like his, unless you will according to the hardness of your Heart treasure up wrath

against the day of Wrath.

Well, what is it then, perhaps shame to unsay what you have said may hinder your return. But Saint Paul, St. Cyprian, and St. Austin thought it no shame to repent and agree with the Catholick Church. You will say perhaps, your Conscience will not suffer you. But what Conscience is it that would separate you from all the rest of the Christian World? to a liberty which hath no ground in the Holy Scriptures. If you judge this liberty

berty to be good, then you judge all Christendom to do evil be-

sides your self.

O what a presumptuous Opinion is this! whereupon to forsake the Church of Christ: what is your colour or pretence for
this? the Abuses of the Church? as though in your Church there
were no Abuses, yea that there were: And if you forsake the universal Church for Abuses, why then do you not forsake your
own Church, and so be slitting from one to another? if you had
seen Abuses, the way to reform them was not to make a defection
from the Catholick Church. He is not a good Chirurgion who for
a little pain in the Toe would cut off the whole Legg. Ye are
like Diogenes, who upon a time envying the Garments of Plato
said, Ecce calco sastum Platonia. Plato answered, Sed majore

faftu.

But some peradventure have animated you to stick to your Tackle, bearing you in hand that your Opinion is good, and that yee shall dye in a good Quarrel, and God will accept your Oblation. But hear what Christ saith, if thou come unto the Altar to offer thy Oblation, and knowest that thy Brother bath ought against thee, leave there thy gift and go and be reconciled to thy Brother, and then come and offer thy gift. This he faid to all the World, to the end they might understand upon what terms their Offerings should be accepted. Remember therefore, before you offer up your Offering, what not one Brother but many Brothers, even all the Church of Rome and Church of England have to fay against you. I say no more than what the Church hath allowed me to fay: The Sacrifice that is offered out of the Church is not profitable. The Premises therefore considered; for God's sake I say, Memor este unde excideris & age panitentiam & prima opera fac. Cast not your self away. Spare your Soul, Spare them also whom you have seduced; and let not the Blood of Christ be shed for you in vain; barden nor your Heart, submit to the received verity of all Christendom, stand not too much in your own conceit, think not your felf wifer then all Christens dom besides, leave off your unjust Cavils, and believe as the Catholick tholick Church Believes and Teaches you, perswade your self that extra ecclesiam non est salus. And thus much have I said of Charity; if this poor Exhortation of mine may sink into your head and take effect with you, then have I said as I would have said, otherwise not, as I would but as I could for this present, Fox, page 650, 651.

The Bishop of Glocester having ended his Speech, Doctor Mar-

tyn takes Cranmer in hand, viz.

These two Princes (meaning Philip and Mary) finding this noble Realm perverted from the unity of the Catholick Church, and perceiving also that you do persist in your detestable Errors, have made their humble Request unto the Popes Holiness Paulus IV. as Supream head of the Church under Christ; declaring to him that whereas you Archbishop of Canterbury and Metropolitan of England, at your Confectation took two folemn Oaths for your due Obedience to the See of Rome, to become a true Pastor of the Flock; yet contrary to your Oath and Allegiance, inflead of unity have fowed discord, inslead of Chastity, Marriage and Adultery; instead of Obedience, Contention; and instead of Faith, ye have been the Author of all Mischief. The Popes Holyness considering their Request and Petition, hath granted to them that Process should issue against you: And whereas in this late time yee have excluded both Charity and Justice; yet hath his Holyness decreed that yee shall have both Charity and Justice shewed unto you.

Also the King and Queens Majesty have appointed us Doctor Story and Me their Attorneys. —— Wherefore I here offer my self as Proctor in the Kings Majesties behalf. I exhibite certain Articles containing manifest Adultery and Perjury. Also Books of Heresse made partly by him, partly set forth by his Authority, and here I produce him as party, principal to Answer

to your good Lordship.

A. Besore you go surther, I desire to understand upon what

account they laid Treason to his Charge.

B. In his Tryal set down at large by Fox, you shall find him Answer-

Answering, or rather evading all the other particulars of Heresie, Incentivency, Perjury; but scarce a word of defence as to the matter of Treason.

A. What should be the reason of that?

B. You must know that Edward VI. dying in the year 1553. all bis Privy Council, the chief of the Nobility, the Mayor and City of London, (these are Foxes words) almost all the Judges and chief Lawyers of the Realm, (Justice Hales only excepted,) Cranmer and Ridly Bishop of London conspired to advance the Lady Jane Grey, and exclude their lawful Sovereign the Princess Mary, cldest Daughter to King Henry VIII. Their grand pretence being that otherwise the Protestant Religion could not stand; and having Proclaim'd Lady Jane, the Lords of the Council writ a Letter to the Princess Mary, dated July 9th 1553. a Copy whereof you may see in Fex, 3 Vol. Cranmer Subscribing the first Man. The Letter begins thus; Madam, We have received your Letter the 9th of this inflant, declaring your supposed Title to the Imperial Crown of this Realm. For Answer whereof, this is to advertise you that for asmuch as our Sovereign Lady Queen Jane, is after the Death of our Sovereign Lord Edward VI. a Prince of most noble Memory, invested and possessed with the just and right Title in the Imperial Crown of this Realm - you surcease by any pretence to wex and molest any of our Sovereign Lady Queen Jane, ber Subjetts. &c.

A. How does Burnet Apologize for this?

B. Nothing at all for this Letter, which is too palpable and too unfortunate to admit of any colour. He consesses, the Archielle and the consesses of the Consesse

bishop of Canterbury was the first Man that Subscrib'd it.

A. But I have heard that he refused to set his hand (King Edward being yet alive) to certain Articles for Disinheriting the Daughters of Henry VIII. after they were signed by all the Privy-Council, all the Judges and chief Lawyers, except Justice Hales?

B. Take the account of it thus fairly out of Burnet. [Dudly Duke of Northumberland, finding that nothing went so near the King's.

King's Heart (Edward VI.) as the ruin of Religion, which heap prehended would follow upon his Death, when his Sifter Mary should come to the Crown; upon that, he and his party took advantage to propose to him to settle the Crown by his Letters Patents on the Lady Jane Grey, (then newly married to Guilford Dudley, Northumberlands fourth Son) how they prevailed with him to pass by his Sister Elisabeth, who had been always much in his Favour, I do not fo well understand. But the King being wrought over to this, on the 11th of June, Mountague Chief Justice of the Common-Pleas, Baker and Bromly two Judges, with the King's Attorney and Solicitor were commanded to come to Council. There they found the King with fome Privy-Councellors about him. The King told them he did now apprehend the danger the Kingdom might be in by the Succession of his Sister Mary. So he ordered some Articles to be read to them of the way in which he would have the Crown to descend. They objected that an Act of Parliament could not be taken away by any fuch Device; yet the King required them to take the Articles and draw a Book according to them. They asked a little time to consider of it. So having examined the Statute of the first year of his Reign, they found that it was Treason not only after the King's Death, but in his life time to change the Succeffion.

Secretary Petre in the mean time pressed them to make haste; When they came again to the Council, they declared they could not do any such thing; for it was Treason. And all the Lords should be Guilty of Treason is they went on in it. Upon which the Duke of Northumberland, who was not then in the Council Chamber, being advertised of this, came in great Fury, calling Mountague a Traitor: But the Judges stood to their Opinion. They were again sent for and came on the 15th of June. The King was present, and somewhat sharply asked them, why they had not prepared the Book, as he had ordered them? They answered, that whatever they did would be of no sorce without a Parliament. But the King said, he would have it first done, and

then ratified in Parliament, and therefore required them on their Allegiance to go about it; and some Councellors told them, if they refused to Obey that, they were Traytors. This put them in a great Consternation; and Old Mountague thinking it could not be Treason, whatever they did in this matter while the King lived, and at worst, that a Pardon under the great Seal would secure him, consented to set about it, if he might have a Commission requiring him to do it, and a Pardon when it was done; both these being granted him, he was satisfyed. The other Judges being asked, if they would concur, did all agree, being overcome with sear, except Hales.

But Cranmer still refused to do it after they had all signed it; and said, he would never consent to the Disinheriting of the Daughters of his late Master. Many Consultations were had to perswade him to it; but he could not be prevailed on, till the King himself set on him, who used many Arguments from the danger Religion would otherwise be in, together with other Perswasions; so that by his Reasons, or rather Importunities, at

last he brought him to it.

NOTE. The Doctors excuse for this unjust Act of Cranmers (importunity,) the same that naughty Women are said to pretend for their Incontinency. If he did this only as submitting to his Princes importunity, how came be after King Edward's Death to Subscribe the aforesaid Letter? And to do both after he had said he he would never consent to the disinheriting of King Henry's Children? The Reader may now understand the reason why he answer ed little or nothing to the Treason objected to him by the Bishop of Glocester, because there was too much Truth in it. And methinks this excuse which Burnet makes for him does him no service; namely, that he stood off a good while, but at last with much a do was perswaded into this Conspiracy against K. Henry's Children. How does this answer the Character which Fox gives of him, in causes pertaining to God and his Prince no Man more stout, no Man more constant. then he. But whether he was in reality so unwilling to this Action, is a question which the indifferent Reader may easily resolve: Since

be

he could not but apprehend that Queen Mary would call him to an account for the troubles he had brought upon her Mother, and indeed upon the whole Church and Kingdom of England. For amongst all the English Bishops (anno 1533. King Henry could not find such another Person (as Burnet confesses) to serve him in the See of Canterbury.

Now as for Mountague Chief Justice of the Common Plea's, and the rest of the Judges who at last consented to the advancement of Lady Jane Grey; you may observe them scrupling the matter not out of Conscience but apprehension of the Law. All that they

defired was to be indemnified from the danger of Law.

A. Now go on to relate how he acquitted himself of the other particulars laid to his Charge, Herefie, Perjury, Incon-

tinency.

B. Although he answered nothing to the Bishop of Glocester concerning the point of Treason, yet I remember somewhat in Fox which he reply'd to Doctor Martyn the Queen's Proctor, viz. I protest before God I was no Traytor, but indeed I confessed more at my Arraignment than was true. Martyn returns, that is not to be reasoned at this present, you know you were condemned for a Traytor. Fox, page 653. 3 Vol.

A. Is there no more in Fox as to that point?

B. Not a word more that I can find.

A. Then proceed as to the particular of Herefie.

B. John Foxes words are these, [As for the matter of Heresic and Schism wherewith he was charged, he protested and called God to witness that he knew none that he maintained: But if that were an Heresic to deny the Popes Authority and the Religion which the Sec of Rome hath published to the World these later years, then the Apostles and Christ himself taught Heresic; and he desired all then present to bear him witness that he took the Traditions and Religion of that usurping Prelate to be most salse, erroneous and against the Doctrine of the whole Scripture.]

That he is the very Antichrist so often preached of by the Apo-

Apostles and Prophets. For it was most evident that he had ad. vanced himself above all Emperors and Kings of the World, whom he affirmeth to hold their Estates and Empires of him as their Chief, and to be deposed at his good Will and Pleasure. - That he hath brought in Gods of his own Framing and invented a new Religion full of Gain and Lucre. — This Enemy of God and of our Redemption is so evidently painted out in the Scriptures by fuch manifest Signs and Tokens, that except a man will shut up his Eyes and Heart against the Light, he cannot but know him. — He is like the Devil in his doings, for the Devil said to Christ, if thou wilt fall down and worship me, I will give thee all the Kingdoms of the World; even so the Bishop of Rome giveth Princes their Crowns being none of his own. Christ faith that Antichrist shall be, and who shall he be? Forfooth he that advanceth himself above all other Creatures. Now if there be none other that hath advanced himself after such manner besides the Pope (he forget Mahomet) then in the mean time let him be Antichrift. I say the Bishop of Rome treadeth under Foot God's Laws and the Kings, &c. Fox, 3 Vol. page 653, and 661.

A. This was strange stuff coming from the Metropolitan of a Nation.

B. But Fox admires it, and adds this marginal Note, [the

Pope proved Antichrift.

NOTE. Cranmer little thought that in less then one Century ofter his Death, his Protestant Successors in the See of Canterbury should be turn'd out of doors, as the Limbs and Feet of that great Antichrist the Pope: and that by vertue of his own dear Principle of Resormation, the Scripture interpreted according to every Man's Judgment of Discretion. I have seen a Book entituled, The Souldiers Catechism, composed for the Parliaments Army, published in the year 1644 where this among other Questions being put, What is it that you chiefly aim at in this War against the King? The Answer is, 1. At the pulling down of Babylon, and rewarding her as she hath served us, Psal. 137. 8. 2. At the suppression of an Anti-christian

christian Prelacy, consisting of Archbishops, Bishops, Deans, &c. 3. At the Reformation of a most corrupt, lazy, infamous, superstitious, soul-murdering Clergy. 4. At the advancement of Christ's Kingdom, and the purity of his Ordinances. 5. At the bringing to Justice the Enemies of our Church and State. 6. At the preservation and continuance of the Gospel to our Posterity. And to this Question, Is it not a lamentable thing that Christians of the same Nation should thus imbrue their Hands in one anothers Blood? The Answer is, I confess it is; but as the case now stands, there is an inevitable and absolute necessity of sighting laid upon the good People of the Land.

2. The whole Church of God calls upon us to come into the help of

the Lord and his People against the Mighty.

3. We are not now to look at our enemies as Country Men, or Kinfmen, or fellow Protestants; but as the Enemies of God and our Religion, and Siders with Antichrist; and so our eye is not to pity them, nor our Sword to spare them, Jerem. 48. 10.

And to this Question. Who do you think are the Authors and Occasioners of this unnatural War? The Answer is, the Jesuites those Fire-brands of mischief with all the Popish Party. 2. The Bishops and the rotten Clergy with all the Prelatical Party, &c.

This Book was printed in the year 1644. and licensed by James Cranford, a Presbyterian Ringleader of those times. In the Title page whereof, you shall find these words, viz. Written for the Instruction and Encouragement of all that have taken up Arms in the Cause of God and his People, &c. In which Book the Reader shall find them driving the Nail to the Head, and expounding the Scripture against the Protestant Hierarchy, just as Cranmer had done against the Pope and Church of Rome: For you must know the time when Cranmer answered thus investively against the Pope, was the year 1556, the Parliament, the National Church and Clergy of England being then astually reconciled to the Church of Rome, (as you may find both in Burnet and Fox.) so that his Authority for saying, the Pope had brought in Gods of his own traming, was then the very same with that of the Presbyterians anno 1644, for calling

calling the English Bishops Antichrists, namely the Scripture inter-

A. It scems Cranmer was then a Schismatique, as well from the established Church of England, as Rome; namely in the year

1556.

B. Yes; for Catholique Religion was then restored by Act of Parliament, with all the Catholique Bishops, who had been ejected by the Privy Council of Edward 6. So that I think it no case matter to resolve you of what Church was Cranmer at that time: a Lutheran he was not, nor yet a Calvinist, nor of the Church of England then established by Law.

A. His Church was then in Utopia. Go on to the rest of his

Story.

B. Thus you shall find him answering to the Charge of Dr. Martyn, viz. [I will never consent to the Bishop of Rome, (so he would never consent to the Disinheriting of King Henrys Children,) for then should I give my self to the Devil. I have made an Oath to the King, and must obey the King by Gods Laws. By the Scripture the King is Chief, and no Foreign person in his own Realm above him. There is no Subject, but to a King. I am a Subject, I ow my Fidelity to the Crown (to the Lady Jane Grey,) the Pope is contrary the Crown. I cannot obey both; for no man can serve two Masters at once, as you in the beginning of your Oration declared by the Sword and the Keys; attributing the Sword to the King, and the Keys to the Pope: but I say, the King hath both. Therefore he that is subject to Rome and the Laws of Rome he is perjured, &c. Fox pag. 653.

NOTE. In his Opinion the King has both the Power of the Sword and of the Keys. This must needs be a man after King Henrys own heart: but if this Doctrine be true, then Queen Mary had the Power of the Keys; and our present Sovereign King James II.

must have the same Power also.

He proceeds. Now as concerning the Sacrament, I have taught no false Doctrine of the Sacrament of the Altar. For if it can be proved by any Doctor above a thousand years after Christ, that H 2. Christs

Christs Body is there really, I will give over. My Book was made seven years ago, and no man hath brought any Authors against it. I believe, that who so eateth and drinketh that Sacrament, Christ is within them, whole Christ, his Nativity, Passion, Resurrection, and Ascension, but not that corporally that sitteth in Heaven—— Fox ibid.

Here Dr. Story, another of the Queens Proctors, interrupted him,

faying, Pleafeth it you to make an end.

To which he replyed, Now I have declared why I cannot with my Conscience obey the Pope; neither say I this for my Desence, but to declare my Conscience for the Zeal that I bear to Gods Word trodden under soot by the Bishop of Rome. See the rest in

Fox, pag. 654.

Then Doctor Story stood up and said (addressing himself to the Bishop of Glocester,) Pleaseth it your good Lordship, because it hath pleased the King and Queens Majesty to appoint my Companion and me to hear the Examination of this man, to give me leave somewhat to talk in that behalf: although I know that in talk with Hereticks there cometh hurt to all men; for it wearieth the stedfast, troubleth the doubtful, and ensnareth the weak and simple; yet because he saith, he is not bound to answer your Lordship sitting for the Popes Holyness, because of a Premunire and the Word of God, as he pretends; I think good somewhat to fay, that all men may fee how he runneth out of his race of Reason into the rage of common Talk. And as the King and Queens Majesty will be glad to hear of your most charitable dealing with him, so will they be weary to hear the blundering of this stubborn Heretick. And where he alledgeth Divinity minling fas nefásque together, he should not have been heard. For shall it be sufficient for him to alledge, the Judge is not competent; and shall we dispute contra eum qui negat principia? Although there be here a great company of learned men, that know it unmeet so to do; yet have I here a plain Canon whereby he is convicted ipso facto.

The Canon is this; Sit ergo ruinæ suæ dolore prostratus, quif-

quis Apostolicis voluerit contraire Decretis: nec locum deinceps babeat inter Sacerdotes sed exors à sancto stat Ministerio, &c.

He hath alledged many matters against the Popes Supremacy, but maliciously. Ye say that the King in his Realm is Supream Head of the Church. Well Sir, you will grant me, that there was a perfect Catholique Church before any King was Christened. Then if it were a perfect Church, it must needs have a Head: which must needs be before any King was member thereof. For you know Constantinus the Emperor was the first Christian King that ever was; and although you are bound (as St. Paul faith) to obey your Rulers, and Kings have Rule over the People, yet doth it not follow that they have Cure of Souls: For a fortiori the Head may do what the Minister cannot do; but the Priest may consecrate, and the King cannot: therefore the King is not Head of the Church. ____ And where the Apostles do call upon men to obey their Princes, cui Tributum, Tributum, cui Vectigal, Velligal; the Exhortation extendeth only to Temporal matters; they perceiving that men were bent to Liberty and Disobedience, were enforced to exhort them to Obedience and Payment of their Tribute.

And again, where you say that the Bishop of Rome maketh Laws contrary to the Laws of the Realm, that is not true; for this is a maxim in the Law, Quod in particulari excipitur non facit universale falsum. And as touching that monstrous talk of your Conscience, that is no Conscience that ye profes: it is but privata Scientia and Secta. As yet you have not proved, for all your glorious Babble, that by Gods Laws ye ought not to answer the Popes Holiness: The Canons which be received in all Christendom compel you to answer. And although this Realm of late time, through such Schismatiques as you were, hath exiled and banished the Canons, yet that cannot make for you: for you know your self, that pars in totum nihil statuere potest. Wherefore this Island, being indeed but a member of the whole Church, could not determine against the whole. And the same Laws that were put away by Parliament, are now received again by a Pan liament

liament, having as full Authority now as they had then. And these Laws will now that ye answer to the Popes Holiness. Therefore by the Laws of this Realm ye are bound to answer him. (This was materially replied to Cranmers words, that he would never consent that the Bishop of Rome should have any Jurisdiction in England.) Wherefore, my good Lord, all that this Thomas Cranmer (I cannot otherwise term him, considering his Disobedience) hath brought for his Defence, shall nothing prevail with you. Require him therefore to answer directly to your good Lordship; command him to set aside his Trisles, and to be obedient to the Laws and Ordinances of this Realm, take witness here of his stubborn Contempt against the King and Queens Majesties, and compel him to answer directly to such Articles as we thall here exhibit against him; and in refusal, your good Lordship is to excommunicate him. Thus Dr. Story, Fox page 654. 655.

NOTE. Here his Fidelity to the Laws, so long as they serve his turn; the King, Queen, Parliament and Laws were then Popish. He was for the Laws made by himself and the Duke of Somerset un-

der the Childhood of Edward. 6.

A. Did he answer nothing further to the Charge of Herefie.

B. Nothing but this; He pulled an Appeal out of his left Sleeve (fays Fox) which he delivered to the Court, faying, I appeal to the next General Council—— And further, I intend to speak nothing against one boly Catholique and Apostolical Church, or the Authority thereof; the which Authority I have in great Reverence, and whom my mind is in all things to obey, pag. 663. 3 vol. The very words of bis Appeal.

A. What did he mean by one holy Catholique Church?

B. His Definition of it you may find in the Thirty nine Articles of the Church of England; which Articles were framed (as Burnet thinks) by him and Ridley, and first published auno 1551. p. 166. 2. vol.

The vifible Church of Christ (saith the 19th Article) is a Congregation of faithful men, in the which the pure Word of God is preached

preached, and the Sacraments duly ministred according to Christs Ordinance, in all those things that of necessity are requisite to the same. Now in the year 1556, when Cranmer presented this Appeal, there could not be in his opinion any such National or Catholique Church visible on the face of the Earth.

A I pray make that appear.

B. By an Induction of all the Churches in the world, that then professed themselves Christians; as the Roman, the Eastern, the Church of England, the Lutherans, Calvinists, Anabaptists, Gc. the Roman in his opinion was but the Synagogue of Antichrift. The Greek Church consented with the Roman in most of the Do-Ctrines controverted betwixt Papifts and Protestants, as the Sacrifice of the Mass, Adoration of the Eucharist, Veneration of Images. Invocation of Saints, Prayer for the Dead, &c. and do confent at this day. The Church of England was then newly reconciled to Rome, and Catholique Bishops restor'd to their own Seer by Act of Parliament. The Lutherans did then and at this day adore a corporal presence in the Sacrament; and therefore cannot be faid (in his opinion) to have the pure Word of God preached, and the Sacraments duely administred according to Christ's Ordinance. The Calvinists had no Orders of Priests and Bishops, consequently no Church at all.

A. How, no Church at all, for want of Priests and Bishops!

let that appear, I pray you.

B. Read the Church of Englands Preface to the Form appointed by her for making and confecrating of Bishops, Priests and Deacons, and there observe these words, viz. It is evident unto all men diligently reading hely Scripture and ancient Authors, that from the Apostles time there hath been these Orders of Ministers in Christs Church, Bishops, Priests and Deacons; which Offices were evermore had in such reverent estimation, that no man by his own private Authority might presume to execute any of them, except he were first called, &c. And Bishop Bramball affirms with great assurance, Among all the Eastern, Southern and Northern Christians, who make innumerable multitudes, there neither is nor ever

was one formed Church that wanted Bishops — among all the Western Churches and their Colonies, there never was one sormed Church for 1500 years that wanted Bishops. If there be any persons so far possessed with prejudice, that they choose rather to follow the private dictates of their own Phrensy than the perpetual and universal practice of the Catholique Church, enter not into their Secrets; O my Soul, Bishop Bramhall, Consecration of Protestant Bishops vindicated, p. 431. of his Works printed at Dublin. And you know the Church of Englands practice at this day, which admits of no Calvinian Ministers into her Clergy without Episco.

pal Ordination.

NOTE, Thus it appears by an Induction of all the several Denominations of Christians, that in Cranmers opinion, there could be no such National or Catholique Church extant upon the face of the earth, anno 1556. as that which the Church of England defines (Article 19th) The visible Church of Christ is a Congregation of faithful men, in which the pure Word of God is preached, and the Sacraments duely administred according to Christs Ordinance, in all shole things that of necessity are requisite to the same. So that his saying [I reverence the Authority of the Catho. lick Church] was but an illusion or vain pretence to avoid the Censure of Heresie: bis Catholique Church at that time being like Terra incognita in our Maps, not as yet found out. What tolerable excuse (Good Reader) canst thou invent for this Reformer? have Lutherans, Papists, Calvinists, Anabaptists, Socinians, Greek Church, &c. all those things that of necessity are requisite to the preaching of Gods pure Word, and due Administration of Sacraments according to Christs Ordinance? If so, then shew me a solid reason, if thou canst, why a Church of England man should not receive the Sacraments of all or any of these Sects? If the Church of Rome have all those things that of necessity are requisite, &c. how or where shall Cranmer appear at the day of Judgment? If she have not, then how is she a Member of Christ's visible Church, a Protestants say she is? A corrupt Member perhaps you will call her; but if she wants any thing necessary or essential to a Christian Church, she is no member

Member at all. If she errs only in matters not fundamental or non-essential (as is confessed by very learned Protestants) she is secure still, but thou art not secured from Schism. If she holds all things necessary to Salvation, and no Error that destroys the Christian Faith, she may be saved, and what more wouldst thou have? But whether she does or does not hold any Errors destructive of Salvation, I pray who shall be Judge? Answer that short Question, if thou wouldst say any thing to the purpose. What Authority had Cranmer to call the Pope Antichrist, more than the Pope had to pronounce him an Heretick? He swore Obedience to the Pope, which the Pope never did to him. He divorced Queen Katherine, styling himself Legatus a Latere, as you may find in Burnet.

A. But he appealed to a General Council, what did he mean by

that ?

B. Nothing, but to divert the proceedings of the Court; for he valued the Authority of General Councils as little as he did that of the Catholick Church.

A. Pray make that out.

B. Burnet acquaints you, page 176. I Vol. [Hc (viz. Cranmer,) faid some General Councils had been rejected by others; and it was a tender point how much ought to be deserred to a Council,—— And as all God's Promises to the people of Israel had this Condition implyed within them, if they kept his Commandments; so he thought the Promises to the Christian Church had this Condition in them, if they kept the Faith: Therefore (says Burnet) he had much doubting in himself as to General Councils, and he thought that only the Word of God was the Rule of Faith, which ought to take place in all Controversies of Religion. This he said in the year 1534.

NOTE. The word of God admits of various Interpretations; the Question is, Who shall determine which is the true Interpretation? a General Council, or Cranmer's private Spirit in Opposition to that

Council?

But his Opinion of General Councils appears further from the XXI. Article of the Church of England, viz. General Councils when I they

they are gathered together, for a smuch as they be an Assembly of men (whereof all be not governed by the Spirit and Word of God) they may err, and sometime have erred in things pertaining unto God. Wherefore things Ordained by them as necessary unto Salvation have neither strength nor Authority, unless it may be declared that they

be taken out of Hely Scripture.

NOTE. But who shall take upon him to judge of the Decrees of General Councils, whether they be Consonant to Scripture or not? shall any single Person? Or any particular Church? Where is the Modesty of that? Shall any inferiour Authority take upon it self to contradict or reverse the Decrees of a Superiour? If so, then why may not any single Minister or Bishop of the Reformed Church protest against the Judgment of a Protestant Convocation? If he may not do it without Censure, how shall the Church of England, being but a particular Church, take upon her self to damn and contradict the Faith of all the rest of the Christian World?

A. Shew me where the affumes any fuch Authority.

B. Read her XIX Article, viz. as the Church of Jerusalem, A-lexandria and Antioch have erred, so also the Church of Rome hath erred not only in their Living and manner of Ceremonies, but also in matters of Faith. Then see the third part of her Homily against peril of Idolatry, and observe these words. ———— So that Laity and Clergy, learned and unlearned, all Ages, Sects and Degrees of Men, Women and Children of whole Christendom (an horrible and most dreadful thing to think) have been at once drowned in abominable Idolatry, and that by the space of eight hundred years and more.

NOTE. Here the Dollrine of the Charch of England, that Christ had no Church upon earth for the space of eight hundred years and more before Cranmer: The same Homily teaches surther, viz. and at the last the learned also were carried away with the publick Error, as with a violent stream or flood: And at the second Council of Nice, the Bishops and Clergy decreed, that Images should be worshipped, and so by occasion of these stumbling Blocks, not only the unlearned and simple, but the learned and

wife.

wife, not only the People but the Bishops, not the Sheep, but also the Shepherds themselves (who should have been Guides in the right way) as blind Guides of the blind sell both into the pit of damnable Idolatry. In the which all the World, as it were drowned, continued until our Age by the space of above eight

hundred years unspoken against in a manner, &c.

A. But don't you wrong the Church of England in Attributing this Doctrine to her, that Christ had no Church upon Earth for the space of eight hundred years and more before the Reformation? Since a Church may be drowned in damnable Idolatry, and yet (as Protestants say) be a true Church of Christ at the same time? Does not Mr. King the Preacher of St. Warbroughs Dublin, (a young man of that profound Learning, that he can see as far into a Militone as another) make it out evidently against D. Manby, as the common sense of Protestant Controvertists, that the Idolatry charged on the Church of Rome is consistent with the Being of a Church? There is a fort of Idolatry which men incur by giving some part of that honour to a Creature (saith he) which God has reserved for himself or asking those things of Creatures which God only can give; and 'tu with this the Church of Rome stands charged. Answer, page 58.

B. Remember the Church of England's Description of Christs Church (Article 19.) [The Visible Church of Christ is a Congregation of Faithful Men, in which the pure Word of God is preached, and the Sacraments duly ministed according to Christs Ordinance in all those things that of necessity are requisite to the same,] and tell me ingeniously whether you think Mr. King's Charge of Idolatry is consistent with such a Visible Church of Christ And where that Visible Church of Christidwelt upon the

face of the Earth before the Reformation? was sidefletab

A. Well, so much for his desence against the Charge of Heresie and appealing to a General Council. Go on to the other particulars of Perjury and Incontinency.

Doctor Martyn. Fox, page 655. 3 Vol. [Martyn. But Sir, You I 2

that pretend to have such a Conscience to break an Oath; I pray did you never swear and break the same?

Cranmer. I remember not.

Martyn. I will help your memory, did you never swear Obedience to the See of Rome?

Cranmer. Indeed I did once swear unto the same.

Martyn. Yea, that ye did twice, as appeareth by Records and

Writings here ready to be shewn.

Cranmer. But I remember I faved all by Protestation that I made by the counsel of the best learned men I could get at that time.

Martyn. Hearken, good people, what this man saith; he made a Protestation one day to keep never a whit of that which he intended to swear next day. Was this the part of a Christian? If a Christian man should bargain with a Turk, and before he maketh his Bargain should protest solemnly before one or two, that he minds not to perform whatsoever he shall promise to the Turk. I say, if a Christian man should serve a Turk in this manner, that the Christian were worse than the Turk. What would you say then to this man that made a solemn Oath and Promise unto God and his Church, and made a Protestation before quite contrary?

Cranm. That which I did, I did by the best learned mens ad-

vice I could get at that time.

Martyn. I protest before all the learned men here, that there is no Learning will save your Perjury herein. — Will you have the truth of the matter? King Henry 8 even then meant the lamentable Change which after came to pass. And to surther his pitiful proceeding, from the Divorce of his most lawful Wise, to a detestable departure from the blessed Unity of Christs Church, this man made the aforesaid Protestation. — And on the other side, he letted not to take two solemn Oaths quite contrary; and why? for otherwise by the Laws and Canons of this Realm he could not aspire to the Archbishoprick of Canter-bury.

Cranm. I protest before you all, never man came more unwillingly to a Bishoprick, than I did to that; in so much that when King Henry did send for me in Post that I should come over, I prolonged my Journey by seven weeks at the least, thinking that

he would forget me in the mean time.

Martyn. You declare well by the way, that the King took you to be a man of good Conscience, who could not find within all his Realm any man to set forth his strange attempts; but was inforced to send for you in Post out of Germany. What may we conjecture hereby, but that there was a Contract between you (being then Queen Anns Chaplain) and the King; Give me the Archbishoprick of Canterbury, and I will give you License to live in Adultery.

Cranm. You fay not true.

Martyn. Let your Protestation, joined with the rest of your Talk, give Judgment. Hinc prima mali labes. Of that your execrable Perjury, and his coloured and too shamefully suffered Adultery came Herefie and all Mischief into this Realm. And thus have I spoken as touching the Conscience you pretend for breaking your Heretical Oath made to the King. But of breaking your former Oath, made at two fundry times both to God and his. Church, you have no Conscience at all. And now to answer the other part of your Oration, wherein your bring in Gods Word, that you have it on your fide, and no man elfe; and that the Pope hath devised a new Scripture contrary to the Scriptures of God. Ye play herein as the Pharefees did, who cried always, Verbum Domini, Verbum Domini, the Word of the Lord, the Word of the Lord, when they meant nothing fo: This betters not your Cause: for Bahlides and Photinus the Hereriques said, they had Gods Word to maintain their Herefie; fo Nettorius, fo Macedonius, so Pelagius, and briefly all the Heretiques that ever were, pretended Gods Word for themselves: Yea, and the Devil, the Eather of Herefies, alledged Gods Word for himself, faying foriprum eft. it is written : fo faid he to Chrift, mitte te deorfum, enft the felf downward, which you applied most fallely against the Pope. Pepe. And if you mark well the Devils language, it agreed with your proceedings most truly. For mitte te deorsum, cast thy self downward (faid he); and fo taught you to cast all things downward: down with the Sacrament, down with the Mass, down with the Altars, down with the Arms of Christ, and up with a Lyon and a Dog; down with the Abbies, Hospitals, Chauntries and Colledges; down with Fasting and Prayer; yea, down with all that good and godly is: all your proceedings and preachings tended to no other end, but to fulfill the Devils request, mitte te deersum: and therefore tell us not that you have Gods Word; for God hath given us by his Word a mark to know that your Teaching proceeded not of God, but of the Devil; and that your Doctrine came not of Christ, but of Antichrist. For Christ foretold there should arise against his Church Lupi rapaces, ravening Welves, and Pseudo-Apostoli, Salse Apostles. But how shall we know them? Christ teaches us, saying, ex fructibus corum cognoscetis eos, by their fruits ye shall know them: Why, what be their fruits? St. Paul declareth, Post carnem in concupiscentia & immun. ditie ambulant, Potestates contemnant, &c. they walk after the slesh in concupiscence and uncleanness, they contemn Dominions. in diebus novissimis erunt periculosa tempora, erunt seipsos amantes. cupidi, elati, immorigeri Parentibus, Proditores, &c. in the later days there shall be perilous times, men lovers of themselves, covetous, proud, disobedient to Parents, Treason workers, &c.

Whether these be not the Fruits of your Gospel, I refer me unto this worshipful Audience, whether the said Gospel began not with Perjury, proceeded with Adultery, was maintained with

Herefie, and cheed in Conspiracy.

Now Sir, two points more I marked in your raging discourse that you made here; the one against the holy Sacrament, the other against the Popes Jurisdiction and Authority of the See Apostolique.

Touching the first, you say you have Gods Word, yea and all the Doctors. I would here ask but one Question of you, whether Gods Word be contrary to it self! and whether the Doctors

teach Doctrine contrary to themselves? for you, Master Cranmer, have taught concerning this high Sacrament of the Altar three contrary Doctrines, and for every one ye pretended Verbum Domini.

Craumer. Nay, I taught but two contrary Doctrines in the same.

Martyn. What Doctrine taught you when you condemned Lambert the Sacramentary in the Kings presence at Whitehall.

Cranm. I maintained then the Papilts Doctrine.

Martyn. That is to fay, the Catholique and Universal Doctrine of Christs Church. And how, when K. Henry died, did you not translate Justus Jonas's Book?

Cranm. I did fo.

Martyn. There you defended another Doctrine touching the Sacrament: by the same token, that you sent to Lynne, your Printer, that whereas in the first Print there was an Affirmative, that is to say, Christs Body really in the Sacrament, you sent then to your Printer to put in a not; wherby it came miraculously to pass, that Christs Body was clean conveyed out of the Sacrament.

Cranm. I remember there were two Printers of my faid Book,

but whether the fame wor was put in, I cannot tell.

Martyn. Then from a Lutheran ye became a Zwinglian, Which is the vilest Herefie of all, concerning the high Mystery of the Sacrament, (and for the same Herefie you did help to burn Lambert the Sacramentary,) which you now call the Catholique Faith, and Gods Word.

cranm. I grant, that then I believed otherwise than I do now; and so I did until my Lord of London, Dr. Ridley, did conferwith me, and by sundry Persuasions and Authorities of Dollors drew me quite from my Opinion.

Martyn. Now, Sir, as touching the last part of your Oration, you denied the Popes Holiness was Supream Head of the Church of Christ.

was and at the day from by moved and

Cranm. I did fo.

Mart. Who fay you then is Supream Head?

Cranm. Christ.

Mart. But whom hath Christ lest here on Earth his Vicar and Head of his Church?

Cranm. No body.

Mart. Ah, why told you not King Henry this, when you made him Supream Head? and now no body is. This is Treason against

his own Person, as you then made him.

Cranm. I mean not, but that every King in his own Realm and Dominion is Supream Head: and so was he Supream Head of the Church of Christ. [Reader, Observe here how he makes the Catholique Church an Hydra of many Heads, instead of that one holy Society which he pretended to believe in the two Creeds.

Martyn. Is this always true, and was it ever so in Christ's

Church ?

Cranm. It was so.

Martyn. Then what fay you to Nero, was he Head of Christs Church?

Cranm. Nere Was Peters Head.

Martyn. I ask whether Nero was Head of the Church or no? If he were not, it is false that you said before, that all Princes be and ever were Heads of the Church within their Realms.

Granm. Nay it is true, for Ners was Head of the Church; that is, in respect of the temporal Bodies of Men, of whom the Church confisteth; for so he beheaded Peter and the Apostles. And the

Turk too is Head of the Church in Turky.

Martyn. Then he that beheaded the Heads of the Church, and crucified the Apostles, was Head of Christs Church; and he that was never Member of the Church is Head of the Church, by your new-found understanding of Gods Word. Fox pag. 655,656.

3. vol.

NOTE. If the Turk be Head of the Church under Christ, he must be so in all Spiritual things or causes as well as temporal, according to the Oath of Supremacy contrived by Cromwell and Cran-

A.

mer, and at this day sworn by Protestants.

A. What is Foxes opinion of this Dialogue?

B. It is not to be supposed otherwise, but much other matter passed in this Communication between them, especially on the Archbishops behalf, whose Answers I do not think to be so slender, nor altogether in the same form of words framed, if the truth, as it was, might be known. But so it pleased the Notary thereof, being too partially addicted to his Mother See of Rome in savour of his Faction, to diminish and drive down the other side, either in not shewing all, or in reporting the thing otherwise than it was, as the common Guise is of most Writers (and of Fox himself) to what side their Affection most weigheth, their Oration commonly inclineth. Fox p.657. 3. vol.

A. It seems then Fox likes not these Answers given by Cranmer, and therefore suspects the Penman, or Notary, of partiality

in reporting the fame.

B. But I believe it a true Report for two reasons; first, the cause would admit of no satisfactory answers. 2. Let the Reader see the Contents of Cranmers Appeal, set down by Fox, and there observe these words, viz. — And when I resused the Bp. of Glocester to be my Judge for most just causes, which I then declared, he nevertheless went on still, and made Process against me, contrary to the Rules of Appealing, which fay, A Judge that is refused, ought not to proceed in the cause, &c. ___ And with this my Protestation made and admitted I made answer, but mine Answer was sudden and unprovided (note this); and therefore I defired to have a Copy of mine Answers, that I might put to, take away, change and amend them; and this was also permitted me; nevertheless, contrary to his promise made unto me, no respect had to my Protestation, nor license given to amend mine Answer: the said reverend Father Bishop of Glocester (as I hear) commanded mine Answers to be enacted, contrary to the equity of the Law; in which thing again I feel my felf much grieved. Fox p 664.0 wall had bor wat that sid

NOTE. Here he excuses the weakness of his own Answers, by saying; they were sudden and unprovided. But let the Reader ima-

gine what better Answers in brief could be returned to the Questions of Dr. Martin; Cranmer having sworn that the King was Supream Head of the Church of England, under Christ, as well in all spiritual things or causes as temporal.

A. But how did he interpret those words, as well in all spiri-

ritual things or causes as temporal?

B. Fox tells you, p. 662. viz. After this Dr. Martyn demanded of him, who was Supream Head of the Church of England? Marry, quoth my Lord of Canterbury, Christ is Head of this Member, as he is of the whole Body of the Universal Church. Why, quoth Dr. Martyn, you made King Henry the 8th. Supream Head of the Church. Yea, said the Archbishop, of all the people of England, as well Ecclesiastical as Temporal. And not of the Church, said Martyn? No, said he, for Christ is only Head of his Church, and of the Faith and Religion of the same; the King is Head and Governour of his People, which are the visible Church. What, quoth Martyn, you never durst tell the King so. Yes that I durst, quoth he, and did in the publication of his Stile, wherein he was named Supream Head of the Church, there was never other thing meant. page 662. This is Foxes account of the Dialogue, received, as he says, from a better band.

A. Did he answer any thing further concerning the Perjury

objected to him.

B. Fox tells you, Others who were present (at his Tryal) do thus report the effect of Cranmers words. viz. — while he in this fort made his Answer, ye heard before how Dr. Story and Martyn divers times interrupted him with blashemous Talk, and would fain have had the Bishop of Glocester to put him to silence; who notwitstanding did not, but suffered him to end his Tale at sull. After this ye heard also how they proceeded to examine him of divers Articles; whereof the chief was, that at the time of his creating Archbishop of Conterbury he was sworn to the Pope, and had his Institution and Industion from him; and promised then to maintain the Authority of that See, and therefore was perjured: wherefore he should rather stick to his first Oath.

Oath, and return to his old fold again, than continue obstinately

in an Oath forced in the time of Schism.

To that he answered (fays Fox) faving his Protestation (which term he used before all his Answers) that at such time as Archbishop Warham dyed, he was Ambassador in Germany for the King: who thereupon fent for him home; and having intelligence by some of his Friends (near about the King) how he meant to bestow the same Bishoprick upon him, and therefore counselled him in that case to make haste home; he feeling in himself a great inability to fuch a Promotion, and very forry to leave his Study; and especially considering by what means he must have it, which was clean against his Conscience, which he could not utter without great peril and danger, deviled an Excuse to the King of matter of great importance, for the which his longer abode there should be most necessary, thinking by that means in his absence, the King would bestow it upon some other; and so remained there by that device one half year after the King had written for him to come; but after that no such matter fell our. as he seemed to make suspicion of, the King sent for him again. Who after his return, understanding still the Archbishoprick to be reserved for him, made means by divers of his best Friends to shift it off; desiring rather some smaller Living, that he might more quietly follow his Book.

To be brief, when the King himself spake with him, declaring that his sull intention was for his Service sake (note this) and for the good opinion he conceived of him, to bestow that Dignity

upon him.

Fox proceeds. After long disabling of himself, perceiving he could by no perswasions alter the Kings determination, he brake frankly his Conscience with him, most humbly craving first his Graces Pardon for what he should declare unto his Highness. Which obtained, he said, that if he accepted the Office, he must receive it at the Popes hand, which he neither would nor could do, His Highness being the only Supream Governour of this Church of England, as well in causes Ecclesiastical as Temporal (this was a Chaplain

Chaplain after King Henry's own Heart.) And therefore if he might in that Vocation serve God, the King, and his Country; seeing it was his pleasure so to have it, he would accept that Dignity, and receive it of his Majesty, and of no Stranger, who had no Authority within this Realm. Whereat the King, said he, staying a while and musing, asked me, How I was able to prove that. At which time I alledged many Texts out of Scripture, and the Fathers also, approving the Supream and highest Authority of Kings within their own Realms, shewing withall the in-

tolerable usurpation of the Pope of Rome.

Afterwards it pleased his Highness (quoth the Archbishop) many and fundry times to talk with me about it; and perceiving that I could not be brought to acknowledge the Authority of the Bishop of Rome; the King himself called Doctor Oliver, and other Civil Lawyers to advise with them how he might bestow the Archbishoprick upon me, inforcing me nothing against my Conscience; who thereupon informed him, that I might do it by the way of Protestation; and so one to be sent to Rome, who might take the Oath and do every thing in my name; which when I understood, I faid, he should do it super Animam suam. And I indeed bona fide made by Protestation: that I did not acknowledge his Authority any further then as it agreed with the express Word of God: And that it might be lawful for me at all times to speak against him, and to impugn his Errors when time and occasion should serve me. And this my Protestation I did cause to be inrolled: and there I think it remains.

This (fays Fox) is the faithful Relation and Testimony of certain Persons that were present at his Tryal before the Bishop of Glocester

See page 661, 662.

Reader, Remember what Doctor Martyn observes (page 60.) Hearken good People to what this man saith, he makes a Protestation one day to keep never a tittle of that which he intended to swear next day. See the Tenour of his Oath to the Pope, page 28. of this Catechism.

A. What did he answer to the particular of Incontinency, or breach of his Sacerdotal Vow?

B. Dr. Martyn objected, that being in boly Orders, after the Death of his first Wife, he married a second named Ann, and kept her secretly in the days of King Henry 8. Whereunto he answered, that it was better for him to have his own Wise, than to do like other Priests holding and keeping other mens wives. But the Question is, whether other mens vices could be any excuse for him? he seems to suppose here every man to be under a necessity of either marrying or committing the sin of Fornication; notwith-slanding his Vow of Coelibate. See Fox p. 657.

A. So much for his Charge and Defence before the Bishop of Glocester, anno 1556. What did the Bishop say upon the upshot

of the Tryal? A of the restored of sinhar Lastan-

B. He made a long Speech, the effect whereof was this; [Master Cranmer, (I cannot otherwise term you considering your obstinacy) I am right heartily forry to hear such words escape your mouth so unadvisedly: I had conceived a right good hope of your amendment. I supposed that this obstinacy of yours came not of vain Glory, but rather of a corrupt Conscience; but now I perceive by your soolish babble, that it is far otherwise. Ye are so pussed up with Vain Glory; there is such a cauterium of Heresie crept into your Conscience, that I am clean void of hope. God would have you to be saved, and you resuse it. You have uttered such erroneous talk, with such open malice against the Popes Holiness, with such open lying against the Church of Rome, with such open Blasphemy against the Sacrament of the Altar, that no mouth could have expressed more maliciously, more lyingly, more blasphemously.

To reason with you, although I would of my self to satisfie this Audience: yet I may not do so by our Commission; neither do I find how I may do it by the Scriptures; for the Apostle commandeth, Hæreticum hominem post unum aut alterum conventum, devita, &c. an heretical person after once or twice conserring, shun, knowing that he is perverse, and sinners, being of his own Judg-

ment condemned. Ye have been conferred withal not once or twice but oftentimes; ye have oft been lovingly admonished, ye have oft been privately disputed with; and the last year in the open School in open Disputations ye have been openly convict. Your Book, which ye bragg ye made seven years ago, and no man answered it, Marcus Antonius hath sufficiently detected and

confuted : yet ye perfift still in your wonted Herefie.

Wherefore being so oft admonished, conserred withal, and convicted, if ye deny your self to be the man whom the Apostle noteth, hear then what Origen saith, who wrote above 1300 years ago, and interpreteth that saying of the Apostle in this wise, in Apologia Pamphili, Hareticus est omnin ille babendus qui Christo se credere prositetur & aliter de Christi veritate sentit quam se habet ecclesiastica Traditio. Ye rehearsed the Articles of your Faith; to what end, I pray you, but to cloak that Heresie rooted in you, and to blind the poor simple and unlearned peoples eyes? for unless (as Origen saith) ye believe all things that the Church hath decreed, ye are no Christian man; in the which, because ye do halt, and will come to no Conformity, from henceforth ye are to be taken for an Heretique, whom we ought to eschew and avoid.

And first, where you accuse me of an Oath taken against the Bp. of Rome I consess it, and therefore do say with the rest of this Realm, good and Catholique men, the words of the Prophet, Peccavimus cum Patribus nostrio, injuste egimus, iniquitatem secimus. We have finned with our Fathers, we have done unjustly and wickedly. Delicta juventutio mea & ignorantias meas ne memineris Domine. The fins of my youth and my ignorances, O Lord do not remember. I was then a young man and a young Scholar here in the University. I knew not what an Oath did mean.

And where you say I took two Oaths, the one contrary to the other. It is not so; for the Oath I made to the Popes Holiness, appertains only to spiritual things: the other that I made to the King, pertains only to temporal things; that is to say, that I do acknowledge all my Temporal Livings to proceed only from

the King, and from none else; but all men may see, as ye agree

in this, so ye agree in the rest of your Opinions.

Now, Sir, as concerning the Supremacy due to the See of Rome; although there be a number of places to prove that Christ appointed Peter Head of the Church, yet this place is most evident; when Christ demanded of his Apostles, Whom do men say that I am? They answered, Some Elias, some one of the Prophets, &c. but to Peter he said, Whom savest thou that I am? Peter answered, Tu es Christus silius Dei, &c. Christ replied, Tu es Petrus & super hanc Petram adisticabo Ecclesiam meam. The Doctors interpreting this place, super hanc Petram, expound it, id est, non solum super Fidem Petri, sed super te Petre. And why did Christ change his Name from Simon to Peter, but only to declare that he was to be (under Christ) the Foundation and Head of the Church.

Again where Christ demanded of Peter, being amongst the rest of the Apostles, three times, Petre amas me? he gave him charge over his Sheep, Pasce Oves meas, pasce Agnos meos, pasce Agnos meos, three times. Which place Saint Chryfostome interpreting, faith, Pasce, boc est loco mei esto Prapositus & caput fratrum tuorum. And when they came that required Didrachma of Christ: he commanded Peter to cast his Net into the Sea, and to take out of the Fishes Mouth stateram, boc oft, duplex didrachma, & da inquit pro te & me Petre. Which words do signifie that when he had paid for them two, he had paid for all the rest. So St. Auftine in y quæft. veteris & novi Testamenti. Salvator (inquit) quum pro le & Petro dari jubebat didrachma, pro omnibus. dari censuit, ipsum enim constituit caput earum. Our Saviour Christ (faith St. Austine) commanding the Tribute to be given for Him and for Peter, meant the same to be given for all the rest. For he appointed bim Head of the rest: what can be more plain then this? But I will not tarry upon this matter.

Now as touching the Popes Laws, which be contrary, as you fay, to the Laws of God; because the Service is in Latin, which ought to be in English. I Answer, whosever will take the pains

to peruse that Chapter, 1 Cor. 14. Ihalf find that his meaning is

concerning Preaching, and obiter only of Praying

Again, Where you say that the Popes Holynt's takes away one part of the Sacrament from the Lairy; which Christ commanded to be given under both kinds, saying, Bibite ex hoc omnes. Now if a Man would be so proterve with you, he might say that Christ commanded it to be given only to his Apostles, into whose

places succeeded Priests, and not Laymen.

And admit that Christ commanded it to be received under both kinds. So he commanded his Apostles, saying, Ite pradicate Evengelium omni nationi, Baptisantes in numine Patru & Filii & spiritus sancti. But the Apostles, being desirous to publish Christs name every where, did Baptise only in Christ's name. Again, Christ before his last Supper washed his Disciples Feet, saying, Si ego lavi pedes vestros, &c. If I being your Lord and Master have washed your feet, ye also ought to wash the feet of one another. This was a Precept: yet hath the Church altered it, lest the simple people should think a Re-baptization in it. (Why do not Protestants observe Christs Institution of washing one anothers seet before they receive the Sacrament!)

So because the Apostle saith, Aevepi a Domino quod & tradidivobis, &c. I have received of the Lord the same which I delivered unto you, that our Lord the same night that he was betrayed, &c. notwithstanding Christs Precept, that the Sacrament should be administred after Supper, the Church hath commanded it to be received safting, (and Protestants do receive it before dinner.) And where Christ did break the Bread, we receive the whole Hast. Christ ministred sixing at the Table, we standing at the Altar.

Likewise it is commanded in the Acts, that Christians should abiliain a sufficient & sanguine, from things strangled and strom blood; but the Church hath altered it, (nor do Protestants observe it) God commanded the Sabbath or Seventh day to be kept holy, the Church hath altered it to the Sunday. In then the Church may change things so expressly appointed in Scripture, she may

also change the form of the Laitys receiving under both kinds, and that for divers reasons.

First, That in carrying it to the Sick, the Blood may not be

shed, lost or misused.

Next, That no occasion might be given to Heretiques, to think, that there is not so much under one kind, as under both.

But why would you have it under both kinds? only to pervert and contradict the Practice of the Church? For when you have it under both kinds, ye believed in neither (meaning a real to diffilee the fame, and become a main

presence in neither.)

Now Sir, as concerning the Sacrament of the Altar, where you fay, you have a number of Doctors on your fide, and we none of ours: indeed one to Rop your mouth I think it not post fible to find. Nevertheless, whereas your desire is to have one shewed you, and then you will recant, I will shew you two

Ferebatur manibus fuis, faith St. Austin Super Pfal. 33. I find not how this is true in David (faith he) literally, that he was born in his own hands: but in Christ I find it true literally, when

he gave his Body to his Apostles at his last Supper.

Again, St. Cyprian de Cæna Domini saith, Panis quem Dominus Discipulu porrigebat, non effigie sed natura mutatus, Omnipotentia Verbi factus est Care. What can be more plain than this? yet to you it is not plain enough. But give me your figurative, figuifecative and fuch other like terms, and I will defend, that Christ hath not yet ascended, no, nor yet that he was incarnate. Wherefore I can only put you in the number of those whom S. Chryfoftom speaks of, Audi, homo fidelis, qui contra Hæreticum contendis, &c. Hear, O thou Christian, canst thou hope to do more than Christ? Christ consuted the Pharesees, yet could not put them to silence. Et tu fortior es Christo? Wilt thou go about to silence him that will receive no Answer? Thus much have I said, not for you, Mr. Cranmer; for my hope that I conceived of you is now past and gone: but somewhat to fatisfie the rude & unlearned people, that they, perceiving your Arrogancy, may the better eschew your detestable and abominable Schism. Fox pag. 658, 659. Thus

to peruse that Chapter, 1 Cor. 14. Shall find that his meaning is

concerning Preaching, and obiter only of Praying.

Again, Where you say that the Popes Holyness takes away one part of the Sacrament from the Laity; which Christ commanded to be given under both kinds, saying, Bibite ex hoc omnes. Now if a Man would be so proterve with you, he might say that Christ commanded it to be given only to his Apostles, into whose

places succeeded Priests, and not Laymen.

And admit that Christ commanded it to be received under both kinds. So he commanded his Apostles, saying, Ite prædicate Evengelium omni nationi, Baptisantes in nomine Patru & Filii & spiritus sancti. But the Apostles, being desirous to publish Christs name every where, did Baptise only in Christ's name. Again, Christ before his last Supper washed his Disciples Feet, saying, Si ego lavi pedes vestros, &c. If I being your Lord and Master have washed your feet, ye also ought to wash the feet of one another. This was a Precept: yet hath the Church altered it, lest the simple people should think a Re-baptization in it. (Why do not Protestants observe Christs Institution of washing one anothers seet before they receive the Sacrament!)

So because the Apostle saith, Accept a Domino quod & tradidivobis, &c. I have received of the Lord the same which I delivered unto you, that our Lord the same night that he was betrayed, &c. notwithstanding Christs Precept, that the Sacrament should be administred after Supper, the Church hash commanded it to be received safting, (and Protestants do receive it before dinner.) And where Christ did break the Bread, we receive the whole Hist. Christ ministred sitting at the Table, we standing at the Al-

tar.

Likewise it is commanded in the Acts, that Christians should abstain a sufficate & sanguine, from things strangled and from blood; but the Church hath altered it, (nor do Protestants observe it.) God commanded the Sabbath or Seventh day to be kept holy, the Church hath altered it to the Sunaay. In then the Church may change things so expressly appointed in Scripture, she may

also change the form of the Laitys receiving under both kinds, and that for divers reasons.

First, That in carrying it to the Sick, the Blood may not be

shed, lost or misused.

Next, That no occasion might be given to Heretiques, to think, that there is not so much under one kind, as under both.

But why would you have it under both kinds? only to pervert and contradict the Practice of the Church? For when you have it under both kinds, ye believed in neither (meaning a real

presence in neither.)

Now, Sir, as concerning the Sacrament of the Altar, where you fay, you have a number of Doctors on your fide, and we none of ours: indeed one to stop your mouth I think it not possible to find. Nevertheless, whereas your desire is to have one shewed you, and then you will recant, I will shew you two.

Ferebatur manibus suis, saith St. Austin Super Psal. 33. I find not how this is true in David (saith he) literally, that he was born in his own hands: but in Christ I find it true literally, when

he gave his Body to his Apostles at his last Supper.

Again, St. Cyprian de Cæna Domini saith, Panis quem Dominus Discipulis porrigebat, non effigie sed natura mutatus, Omnipotentia Verbi factus est Care. What can be more plain than this? yet to you it is not plain enough. But give me your figurative, figuificative and fuch other like terms, and I will defend, that Christ hath not yet ascended, no, nor yet that he was incarnate. Wherefore I can only put you in the number of those whom S. Chryfostom speaks of, Audi, homo fidelis, qui contra Hæreticum contendu, &c. Hear, O thou Christian, canst thou hope to do more than Christ? Christ consuted the Pharesees, yet could not put them to silence. Et tu fortior es Christo? Wilt thou go about to silence him that will receive no Answer? Thus much have I said, not for you, Mr. Cranmer; for my hope that I conceived of you is now past and gone: but somewhat to fatisfie the rude & unlearned people, that they, perceiving your Arrogancy, may the better eschew your detestable and abominable Schism. Fox pag. 658, 659.

Thus spake the Bishop of Glocester, like a Catholique, understanding Prelate. After whom Dr. Stony (saith Fox) thus inferred in words.

Master Cranmer, you have made a goodly Process concerning your Hereical Oath made to the King; but you forger your Oath made to the See Apostolique. As concerning your Oath to the King, if you made it to him only, it took an end by his Death, and so it is released: it to his Successors, well, his, the true Successors have the Empire now; and they will have you to dissolve the same, and become a member of Christs Church again! it was no Oath, for it lacked the three points of an Oath, that is to say, Justiciam, Judicium & Veritarem: Thus Dr Story, ibid. p 659. Protestants will needs swear the King to be Surpream in all Spiritual things or causes, whether the King will or no; and when they have sworn it, they will obey him in such matters so fair as they think good, when he happens to be a Papist.

not how this is true in David seithful bewolld and went son

B. After all this Cranmer made that Reconstation which you have heard, and retracted it again, when he faw no hopes of his Pardon —— He had this reason to rejoyce (says Fox) that dving in such a Cause, he was to be numbered amongst Christs Martyrs, (although he had no mind to be a Martyr) much more worthy the Name of Saint Thomas of Canterbury, than he whom the Pope had Jally canonized, meaning Thomas Becket, p. 672.

A. Of what Church dyed he a Member?

B I cannot tell, the Church of England being then abolished, and Carbolique Religion restored by Act of Parliament.

A. One Question you have not answered, what were the Words of his Mission and Consecration both as Priest and Bishop?

B. That you shall hear by and by. Give me leave to observe one or two passages more out of Dr. Burnet.

A. As to What Point?

B. As to that Candour and Simplicity which Burnet admires in him, page 172. 1. vol. The Story is thus, Burnet p. 172. fecond volume. viz.

In the year 1551, the fifth year of Edward the Sixth, the bufiness of the Lady Mary was taken up with more heat than formerly. The Emperors earnest Suit that the might have Mass faid in her House was long rejected - Wer the State of England making his Friendship at that time necessary to the King, and he refusing to continue in his League, unless his Kinswoman obtained that Favour, it was promifed that for some time, in hope The would reform, there should be a Forbearance granted. The Emperors Ambassador pressed to have a License for it under the great Seal; it was answered, that being against Law, it could not be done. ____ The two grounds the went upon, were. that fhe would follow the ancient and univerful way of Worship. and not a new Invention that lay within the Four Seas (thefe were ber words) and that she would continue in that Religion in which her Father, King Henry, had instructed her. To this the King fent an Answer, (he was then searce 14 years of age) telling her, that she was a part of this Church and Nation, and so must conform her felf to the Laws of it (the Laws made by Granmer. Sommerfet, Dudley, (Sc.) and that the way of Worship now set up, was no other than what was clearly confonant to the pure Word of God, (that was King Edwards first Common Prayer Book, which expressly commanded Prayers for the dead.) After this the was fent for to Court, and pains was taken to instruct her better; but the refused to enter into any Reasonings, and claimed the Promise that was said to be made to the Emperor. But it was told her, that it was but temporary and conditional. Whereupon the last Summer (anno 1550.) she was defigning to fly out of England ____ The Emperors Ambaffador folicited for her violently; and faid, he would prefently take leave, and protest that they had broken their Faith to his Master, who would refent the Usage of the Lady Mary as highly as if it were done immediately to himself. The Privy Counsellers having no mind to draw a new War on their Heads, especially from so victorious a Prince, were all inclined to let the matter fall. There was also a Years Cloth lately fent over to Antwerp, and 1500 Quintals

tals of Powder, with a great deal of Armour, bought there for the King's use, was not come over. So it was thought by no means advisable to provoke the Emperor, while they had such effects in his Ports: Nor were they very willing to give higher Provocations to the next Heir of the Crown. Therefore they all advised the King not to do more in that matter at present, but to leave the Lady Mary to her Discretion; who would certainly be made more Cautious by what she had met with, and would

give as little scandal as was possible by her Mass.

But King Edward could not be induced to give way to it, for he thought the Mass was Impious and Idolatrous (as Cranmer, and the rest of his Tutors had instructed him,) so he would not confent to the continuance of such a Sin. Upon this the Council ordered Cranmer, Ridiey, and Poinet (the new Bishop of Winchester) to discourse with him about it. They told him it was always a Sin in a Prince to permit any Sin, but to give a Connivance; that is, not to Punish, was not always a Sin. Since sometimes a lesser Evil connived at might prevent a greater. He was overcome by this, yet not so easily, but that he burst forth into Tears, lamenting his Sisters obstinacy, and that he must suffer her to continue in so abominable a way of Worship as he esteemed the Mass. Burnet, ibid.

Reader, Observe here the Casuistry of these new Apostles Cranmer, Ridley, and Poinet, &c. governing the Conscience of this young King. At his Fathers death they all went to Mals: King Henry was no sooner dead, but they represent it to King Edward as the most impious and detestable Idolatry, not to be tolerated by any Christian King. He understood not their Knavery, being then (anno 1546.) a Child of nine years old; but verily thought them (what they pretended to be) the most sincere Christians. After sour or sive years, he is amazed to find the Spirit of Resormation appearing with a Cloven soot, and distinguishing betweet Permitting and Conniving at the impiety of the Mals for interests sake. He burst out into Tears at the Counsel of these new Evangelists, thus mingling earth-

ly Policy with Religion. But mark what follows.

Burnet informs you, that King Edward's Council being now less in fear of the Emperor ___ In July (anno 1551) fent for Inglefield, Walgrave and Rochester, three of the Lady Marys chief Officers and gave them Instructions to fignific unto her the Kings Pleasure to have the new Service in her Family (these are Burnets words) and to return with an Answer. In August they came back. and faid, she received the Message very grievously; and that she would obey the King in all things, except where her Conscience was touched. Upon this they were fent to the Tower. Then the Lord Chanceller, Sir Anthony Wingfield, and Sir William Petre were sent unto her with a Letter from the King, and Instructions from the Council. They came to her House at Copthall in Esfex. The Lord Chancellor gave her the Kings Letter, which she received on her knees, and faid, she paid that respect to the Kings Hand, and not to the Matter of the Letter, which she knew proceeded from the Council. And when she read it, she said, Ah! Mr. Cecil took much pains here (he was then Secretary of State); so she turned to the Counsellors, and bid them deliver their Message to her, wishing them to be short; for she was not well at ease. The Lord Chancellor told her, that all the Council were of one mind, that she must be no longer suffered to have private Mass or a Form of Religion different from what was established by Law.

He went to read the Names of those that were of that mind; but she desired him to spare his pains, she knew they were all of a fort. They next told her, they had Order to require her Chaplains to use no other Service than what was according to

Law.

She answered, she was the Kings most obedient Subject and Sister; and would obey him in every thing, but where her Conscience held her, and would willingly suffer Death to do him Service: but she would lay her Head on a Block, rather than use any other Form of Service, than what had been at her Fathers Death; only she thought (observe this) she was not worthy to suffer Death upon so good an account. When the King came

came to be of Age, so that he could order these things himself, she would obey his Commands in Religion. For although he (Good, Sweet King) these were her words, had more Knowledge than any of his years, yet he was not a fit Judge in these matters. For if a Ship were to be set to Sea, or any matter of Policy to be determined, they would not think him fit for it; much less could he be able to resolve Points of Divinity.

I have transcribed this passage at large out of Burnet, (pag. 173, 174. 2. vol.) for two reasons. 1. To acquaint the Reader how these Resources steered their course according to their Interest. For (in July 1551.) being now in less sear of the Emperor (says Burnet) they sent 3 Messengers to her, to signific the Kings Pleasure, that she must be no longer suffered to have Mass in her Family. 2. To entertain you with this passage of the Christian and Noble Behaviour of the Lady Mary, consessed by Burnet himself. And more of it you shall hear anon.

A. You promised another passage out of Burnet.

B. 'Tis this. On the 12th. of April, 1549. (the third year of Edward VI.) a Complaint was brought to the Council, that with the Strangers that were come into England, some Anabaprifts had come over, and were differinating their Errors and making Profelites: so a Commission was ordered for the Archbishop of Canterbury, the Bishops of Ely, Wircester, Westminster. Chichefter, Lincoln and Rochefter, &c. and some others, three of them being a Quorum, to examine and fearch after all Anabaptifts. Heretiques or Contemners of the Common Prayer. They were to endeavour to reclaim them, to enjoyn them Penance, and give them Absolution: or if they were obstinate, to excommunicate and imprison them; and to deliver them over to the secular Power to be further proceeded against. Some Tradesmen in London were brought before these Commissioners in May, and were perswaded to abjure their Opinions, which were, that a man regenerate could not fin; that though the outward man finned, the inward man finned not. That there was no Trinity of Persons, that Christ was only a holy Prophet, and not at all God: that the Baptism of Infants

Infants was not profitable. That Christ took no Flesh of the Vir-

gin, Gc.

One of those, who thus abjured, was commanded to carry a Faggot next Sunday at Saint Pauls, where there should be a Sermon fetting forth his Herefie But there was another of these extream obstinate, Joan Bocher, commonly called Joan of Kent. the denied that Christ was truly incarnate of the Virgin. whose Flesh being finful, he could take none of it: but the Word, by the consent of the inward man in the Virgin, took Flesh of her. These were her words. They took much pains about her, and had many Conferences with her; but the was fo extravagantly conceited of her own Notions, that the rejected all they faid with fcorn; whereupon the was adjudged an obstinate Heretique; and so left to the fecular Power. This being returned to the Council, the good King was moved to fign a Warrant for burning her; but could not be prevailed on to do it. He thought it a piece of Cruelty too like that (fays Burnet) which they had condemned in the Papifts, to burn any for their Consciences. Crammer was employed to perswade him to fign the Warrant. He argued from the Law of Moles, by which Blasphemers were to be stoned : he told the King. he made a great difference between Errors in other Points of Divinity, and those that were directly against the Apostles Creed: That these were Impieties against God, which a Prince, as being Gods Deputy, ought to punish, as the Kings Deputies were obliged to punish Offences against the Kings Person. These Reafons did rather silence than farisfie the young King; who still thought it a hard thing (as in truth it was, fays Burnet) to proceed so severely in such cases. So he set his hand to the Warrant with tears in his eyes, faying to Cranmer, that if he did wrong, fince it was in submission to your Authority, you shall answer for it to God. This struck the Archbishop with much horror, so that he was very unwilling to have the Sentence executed. (Her Crime was nothing else but that the had read the Bible, and interpreted it according to that Judgment of Discretion which Cranmer allow'd to every one.) But he and Ridley took the Woman then then in custody to their Houses, to see if they could persuade her. But she continued to carry her self so contemptuously, that at last the Sentence was executed on her, the second of May next

year, and she was burnt.

This Action (faith Burnet) was much censured, as being contrary to the Clemency of the Gospel; and was oft made use of by the Papists, who said it was plain that the Resormers were only against Burning, when they were in sear of it themselves. And the Womans Carriage made her be lookt on as a frantick person, fitter for Bedlam than a Stake.

Two years after this, one George Pare a Dutchman was burnt,

for faying, that Christ was not Very God.

In all the Books published in Queen Marys days, justifying her Severity against the Protestants, these instances were always made use of, and no part of Cranmers Life exposed him more then this did. Burnet, p. 111, 112. 2. vol.

He tells us moreover, It was said he had consented both to Lamberts and Ann Askows Death in King Henrys Reign, who both suffered for Opinions, which Cranmer himself held now in

King Edwards days. Burnet, ibid.

And now, Reader, observe the Excuse which Burnet makes for him. One thing was certain, that what he did in this matter, flowed from no Cruelty of Temper in him; but it was truly the effect of those Principles by which he governed himself. ibid. p. 112.

It is plain, that the Reformers were only against Burning, when they were in fear of it themselves. No body can judge of Heresie but themselves.

A. Now, I pray, what were the Words of his Mission and Con-

Secration, both as Priest and Bishop?

B. His Priestly Function was given him in these Words, Accipe Potestatem offerre Sacrificium Deo, Missasque celebrare tam pro vivis quam pro defunctis, in nomine Domini. Amen. Take thou Power to offer Sacrifice to God, and celebrate Mass both for the living and the dead, in the name of the Lord. Amen. Accipe Spiritum

Spritum sanctum, quorum remiseris peccata, remissa sunt; & quorum

retinueris, retenta funt.

Tunc interrogat Episcopus, promittisne mihi & Successoribus meis Reverentiam & Obedientiam? Respondet Presbyter, Promitto, &c. that is, Receive the Holy Ghost, whose Sins thou dost remit, they are remitted, whose Sins thou dost retain, they are retained.

Then the Bishop demands, Wilt thou promise to me and my

Successors Reverence and Obedience?

The Priest answers, I promise.

A. Had he no other Priesthood but this? I ask this question not without some admiration at this Reformer!

B. None but this.

A. Then by these Priestly Orders he had received no Power but to celebrate Mass for the quick and dead, and in Christs name to bind and absolve Sinners, &c.

B. True; and accordingly had officiated for many years, until the first or second year of Edward 6. when he was discharged

of that Office.

A. Who discharg'd him?

B. I cannot undertake to answer all the difficulties of that Question. But you know King Edward was then Supream Ordinary of the Church of England, although but a Child of nine years old: and Protestants will tell you, Cranmer was no longer obliged to such Priesthood, having found out the Impieties and Corruptions thereof.

A. No longer obliged to such Priesthood! you say he had no other, besides what was delivered to him in these words, Accipe Potestatem offerre Sacrificium Deo, Missague celebrare tam pro vivis

quam pro defuncti, Cc.

B. They are the express words of the Roman Pontifical, where-

by he was ordained Priest, as Dr. Burnet consesses.

*A. Then what Priesthood had he to abolish the Body and Blood Mass, to reform Altars into Communion Tables, and of Christ are in Heaven, and not here (in the Sacrament,) it being against the truth of Christs natural Body to be at one time in more places than one, saith the Church of England, Rubrick after Communion Service.

M the

the real presence of Christs Body and Blood into a real absence, or to read Communion Service without a Communion, as Protestants

do upon most Sundays and Holidays?

B. As for these things, perhaps he had some extraordinary Inspiration and perhaps not; you press too hard with your Questions, as if you had sound out the blind side of the Reformation. What he wanted of Priesthood, you know, was supplied to him by Act of Parliament. Remember Mr. Kings Admonition, the Preacher of St. Warbroughs; A Fool may ask more Questions than a Wise man can easily answer, Answer to D. M's Latin Questions, pag. 99.

A. Yes, and I remember what he says moreover, p. 25. He, viz. Cranmer, in all be did, had the unanimous Consent and Vote of

the major part of the Convocation, &c.

B. You must excuse him for that mistake. He has enough to do (and perhaps more than an ingenious man would desire to undertake) to satisfie the itching Ears of his Congregation with a spick and span new Sermon every Sunday in the year, besides other Parochial Duties, and cannot know every thing as he pretends. Dr. Burnet informs you, how in the year 1534. Cromwell joyning himself to Cranmer in a sirm Friendship, did promote the Resormation very vigorously; but there was another party in Court that wrestled much against it, whereof the Duke of Norfolk was Head; whose great Friend was Gardiner Bishop of Winchester, who despited Cranmer, and hated all Resormation: Longland, that had been the Kings Consessor, was also managed by them; and they had a great Party in Court, and (mark this) almost all the Churchmen were on their side. Burnet, p. 172. 1. vol. Here almost all the Churchmen were against Cranmer.

Then in the year 1540. Cranmer (fays Burnet) was for reducing the Seven Sacraments to Two, but the Popish Party was then prevalent; so the old number of Seven was agreed to, pag. 289. 1. vol. and Cranmer subscribed with the rest, tho against his

own opinon.

This was far from the unanimous Consent of the Clergy.

In the Reign of King Edward, anno 1547. While the Parliament was sitting, they were not idle in the Convocation, though the Popish party (these are Burnets words) was yet so prevalent in both Houses (of Convocation) that Cranmer had no hopes of doing any thing till they were freed of the trouble which some of the great Bishops gave them, p. 47. 2. vol. that is, till those Bishops were purged out. And reckoning the number of Bishops that were of Cranmers side anno 1547. all he could find were these, viz. Holgate of Tork, Holbeach of Lincoln, Goodrick of Ely & Ridley elect of Rockester, and Latimer. Others of the Bishops were ignorant and weak men (says Burnet) who understood Religion little and valued it less (meaning bis new Reformation); and so though they liked the old Superstition best (that is Catholique Religion) yet they resolved to swim with the stream, p. 25. 2 vol.

Then anno 1548. of the 8 Bishops who were ordered to draw up the Common Prayer Book, sour protested against it, as Burnet contesses, p. 94. 2. vol. And the same year it being brought into the House of Lords, the Bishops of London, Durham, Norwich, Carlisle, Hereford, Worcester, Westminster, and Chichester

protested against it. p. 23. 2. vol.

To these the Bishops made their several Answers, by which the Reader will perceive (says Burnet) how generally the Bishops were addicted to the old Superstition, and how sew did agree in

all things with Cranmer p. 61, 62. 2. vel.

M 2

Dissen-

Dissenters from the Reformation were generally turned out of their Sees. For the most part the Prelates were changed (says Fox) and the dumb Bishops compelled to give place to others that would preach. p. 1180 And that all things might be carried with as little opposition and noise as might be (saith Heylin,) it was though fit that Bishop Gardiner of Winchester should be kept in Prison till the end of the Session of Parliament, and that Bishop Tonkall of Durham (a man of a most moderate and even Spirit) should be made less in Reputation by being deprived of his place at Council Table. History Reformation, p 48. This was anno 1547.

the first year of King Edward.

Heylin adds. And though the Parliament confifted of, such Members as disagreed amongst themselves in respect of Religion, yet they agreed well enough together in one common Principle, which was to ferve the present Turn, and preserve themselves: For though a great part of the Nobility, and not a few of the Chief Gentry in the House of Commons were Cordially affected to the Church of Rome; yet were they willing to give way to all such Acts and Statutes as were made against it, out of a fear of losing such Church Lands as they were possessed of, if that Religion should prevail, and get up again. And for the rest, who either were to make or improve their Fortunes, there is no question to be made, but that they came resolved to surther such a Reformation as should most visibly conduce to the advancement of their feveral ends; which appears plainly by the strange mixture of the Alls and Refults thereof; some tending simply to God's Glory and the good of the Church; some to the present benefit and enriching of particular Persons. And some again being devised of purpose to prepare a way for exposing the Revenues of the Church unto spoil and rapine. Heylin, p. 48.

Anno 1551. Poynet was made Bishop of Winchester, Gardiner being deprived. Doctor Story was made Bishop of Rochester. Miles Coverdal, Bishop of Exeter. Hooper of Glocester. So that now (says Burnet) the Bishopriks were generally filled with men well affected to the Reformation, Burnet pag. 166. 2. vol. And

now let the Reader observe his following words, viz. so now the Bishops being generally addicted to the Purity of Religion, most of this year (1551) was spent in preparing Articles which should

contain the Doctrine of the Church of England.

But many thought (says he) they should have begun 1. With those (Articles;) but Cranmer upon good reasons was of another mind, though much pressed by Bucer about it, till the Order of Bishops (observe) were brought to such a model, that the sar greater part of them would agree to it: it was much fitter to let that design (of the 39 Articles) go on slowly, than to set out a Profession of their Belief, to which so great a part of the chief Pastors might be obstinately averse. Burnet p. 166. 2. vol.

In the first year of Queen Elisabeth (1559) the Bill for the Supremacy was past by the Lords on the 18th of March. The Archbishop of Tork, the Earl of Shrewsbury, the Bishops of London, Winchester, Worcester, Landaff, Coventry and Litesield, Exeter, Chester and Carlisse, and the Abbot of Westminster dissent-

ing. Burnet. p. 385. 2. vol.

He proceeds, p. 386. There was no other punishment inflicted on those that denied the Queens Supremacy (ann. 1559.) but the loss of their Goods, and such as resused to take the Oath did only lose their Imployments. Whereas to resuse the Oath in King Henrys time brought them into a Premunire, and to deny the Supremacy was Treason. But against this Bill (of Queen Elizabeths first Parliament) the Bishops made Speeches in the House of Lords; the rest of the Bishops opposed it, the rather because they had lately declared so high for the Pope, that it had been very indecent for them to have revoked so soon, pag. 386, 387. 2. vol.

The Bishop of Chester said in Parliament, that the Bill was against both Faith and Charity (meaning the Bill for the new Service) that Asts of Parliament were no Foundations for a Churches Belief; that it was an insolent thing to pretend that all our Fathers lived in Ignorance. That the Prophets oftentimes directed the Israelites to enquire of their Fathers. Matters of Religion

could

could not be understood by the Laiety. Jeroboam made Israel to sin when he set up a new way of Worship. Gallio by the Light of Nature knew that a Civil Judge ought not to meddle with matters of Religion. In the Service-Book, that was then before them, there was no Sacrifice for Sin, &c. and for these reasons (says Burnet) he could not agree to it. But if any thought he spoke this because of his own concern, or pitied him for what he might suffer by it, he would answer in the words of our Sa-

viour, Weep not for me, weep for your selves. p. 393.

After him spake Fecknam Abbot of Westminster; he said, There had been great Order and Obedience in Queen Marys Reign; but now every where great Insolencies were committed by the people, with some very indecent Prophanations of the most holy things. He recommended to them in St. Austins words the adhering to the Catholique Church: the very name Catholique, which Heretiques had not the considence to assume, shewed their Authority. The Consens of the whole Church in all Ages with the perpetual Succession of Pastors in St. Peters Chair, ought to weigh more with them than a sew new Preachers, who had of late distracted both Gemany and England. Burnet pag. 393. 2. vol.

Then see his Appendix to 2. vol. p. 408. Where setting down these words of Nicholas Sanders, That the Laws concerning Queen Elisabeths Supremacy passed the House of Lords with great difficulty, all the Bishops opposing them. Burnet answers, It is true, all the Bishops did oppose them, &c. But to all the Changes that were made in King Edwards time they submitted, &c. Why then were they turned out by King Edward, and Protestants substituted in their places?

Thus, Reader, it appears by Burnets own Confession, that the Reformation was not the Act and Deed of the old Clergy or Convocation of England, or the major part thereof; but imposed on the Nation by the Power and Interest of a few persons. And so I leave you to think what you please of Mas William Kings Considence, the Preacher of St. Warbroughs, affirming (as you have heard) that

Cranmer

Cranmer in all he did had the unanimous Consent and Vote of the major part of the Convocation, the universal Submission of the Clergy, &c. Answ. p. 25.

A. But supposing he had the major Vote of his side (as he had

not) could that have justified his Reformation?

B. Not at all; for being all made Priests after that Form, Accipe Potestatem offerre Sacrificium Deo, missaque celebrare tam pro vivis quam pro defunctis, Sc. they had no more Authority to abrogate the Mass, than the present Archbishop of Canterbury, with the major Vote of the Protestant Bishops to abolish the Common Prayer. By their Protestant Orders they cannot do it.

A So much for his Priesthood. You said he was consecrated Bishop in the year 1533. What were the Words of his Consecra-

tion ?

B. You may find them in the Roman Pontifical. First he was interrogated, Vu Traditiones orthodoxorum Patrum & Decretales sanctæ & Apostolicæ sedu constitutiones veneranter suscipere, docere ac servare?

R. Volo.

Vis beato Petro Apostolo cui à Des data est Potestas ligandi ac solvendi, ejusque Vicario Domino nostro N. Papæ suisque Successoribus Romanie Pontificibus sidem, subjectionem & obedientiam secundum Canonicam Austoritatem per omnia exhibere?

R. Volo.

Credu sanctam, catholicam & Apostolicam unam esse veram Ecclesiam, in qua unum datur verum Baptisma & vera peccatorum omnium Remissio?

R. Credo.

That is, Will you reverently receive, teach and keep the Traditions of the orthodox Fathers, the Decrees and Constitutions of the holy and Apostolique See?

He answered, I will.

Will you shew Fidelity, Subjection and Obedience to St. Peter the Apostle, and his Successors the Bishops of Rome, in all things according to the Canons?

He

Heanswered, I will.

Do you believe one holy Catholique and Apostolique Church, in which there is true Baptism and true Remission of Sins?

He answered, I believe.

After which the Bishop, consecrating, authorizes him in these Words, viz Accipe Evangelium, vade & prædica populo tibi commisso. Receive thou the Gospel, go and preach to the people committed to thy charge. R. Amen.

A. Why, by this Confectation he had no more Authority to preach the Doctrine of 39 Articles, than the present Archbishop of Canterbury to preach the Doctrine of the Council of Trent.

B. No more. You see how he obliged himself to pay Fidelity, Subjection and Obedience to the Bishops of Rome in all things according to the Canons then in sorce. And reverently to receive, teach and keep the Constitutions of the holy and Apostolique See. And upon these terms he received his Commission to go and preach the Gospel.

A. The matter is plain. All his Changes were unwarrantable, and his Reformation but a Castle in the air without a Foundation,

if you set aside Acts of Parliament.

B. And besides all this, he swore that Oath to the Pope which you have heard, page 28. of this Catechism. Then what if all the Bishops had contented with him, they had but violated their Engagements and Vows, as he did, being all sent and ordained after that Form.

Reader, I pray look into the Form and Manner appointed by the Church of England for consecrating of Bishops and Archbishops; and there observe how Protestant Bishops do oblige themselves to preach Gods Word according to the Authority committed unto them by the Ordinance of this Realm, and no otherwise; that is to say, according to the Doctrine of Thirty nine Articles. Then enquire a little further what Commission had Cranmer or Matthew Parker to impose or preach the said Articles.

A. Enough of Cranmer. What is Burnets Character of those

few Bishops that were of his Party?

B. Those

B. Those were Latimer, Shaxton, Barlow; who were rather Cloggs than Helps to Cranmer (says Burnet.) Latimers Simplicity and Weakness made him be despised: Shaxtons proud and litigious Humour drew hatred on him. Barlow was not very discreet, Burnet pag. 255. I. vol. And then it follows; Many of the Preachers whom they cherished (meaning the new Preachers) whether out of an unbridled sorwardness of Temper, or true Zeal, that would not be managed and governed by politick and prudent measures (note this) were slying at many things not yet abolished. See the rest Burnet ibid. This was in the year 1538.

A. Give me leave to ask you one Question.

B. What's that?

A. What is your meaning by all this History of Cranmer and

his Associates?

B. Nothing but to shew the unwarrantableness of all the Changes they made in Religion; having neither extraordinary nor ordinary Mission to recommend them; nor yet the major Vote of the Convocation. Ordinary Mission they had none, but what you have heard out of the Roman Pontifical. Extraordinary things they never pretended to, besides what I have told you out of Burnet and Fox.

Now, Reader, remember that wonderful Answer of Mas William Kings, page 18. viz. — Although therefore the first Reformers had their Orders from Bishops in Communion with the Church of Rome: Yet it was as Christian Bishops they ordained, and as English Bishops that they admitted the first Reformers to their Charges. But suppose they (the first Reformers) had no other Orders but what they received from the Bishop of Rome himself; all that can be concluded from thence, is, that we are obliged to own that the Orders of Priest and Bishop given by Roman Catholicks are valid, and capacitate a Man to perform all the Duties belonging to those Offices in a Christian Church, which we readily acknowledge, (observe that) and charge the Popish Priests and Bishops not with want of Orders; but with abusing

the Orders they have to ill intents and purposes, (by whose Authority do you pass this Censure?) The Roman Catholick Bishops do not conser Orders as Roman, but as Christian Bishops; their Orders ere Christian Orders. [Mark Reader what he says, Roman Catholick Bishops are Christian Bishops, and their Orders Christian Orders; why then did the first Resormers so notoriously transgress them?] And those we hold sufficient to all intents and purposes of the Resormation; and must do so till Mr. Manby or some body else prove them insufficient. In short, a Man is ordained neither a Protestant nor a Papist, but a Christian Bishop, his Mission is a Christian Mission, page 19.

Now Roman Catholicks will be apt to ask, what needs any more to recommend their Doctrine then Christian Bishops and a Christian Mission? Mr. King allows their Mission and denyes their Doctrine. They deny both his Mission and his Doctrine. When Doctrines are disputed and Scriptures alledged by both Parties, how shall a Church prove the verity of her Doctrine but by the certainty of her Mission? Did not our blessed Saviour answer that question of the Jews, by what Authority does thou these things? by appealing to the Evidence of his Mission? The works that I do,

testifie of me that I am sent from God?

A. But Mr. King's meaning is this, that Roman Catholicks

have exceeded their Commission by teaching false Doctrine.

B. But I would fain know of him, who shall be Judge of that? he knows very well, that's as easily deny'd as 'tis affirm'd. And I appeal to the indifferent Reader, which of the two Parties have been the greatest Transgressors of those Orders now mentioned?

A. But don't you observe one admirable passage in him?

B. What is that?

A. Why, that Mr. M. proceeds on an ignorant supposition, that every Man is ordained to preach the Tenets of his Ordainers.

Whereas the ordained are no more accountable to their Ordainers upon the account of being ordained by them, then a Man is accountable to a Lord Chancellor for the use of his power,

because he set the Seal to his Patent by which he claims his

power, page 19.

B. Very good, then if Mr. King were ordained by the Protestant Archbishop of Dublin, or of Tuam, he is not accountable to them for his Doctrine; because the Ordainers are only instruments, but his Power is from Christ.

A. Mark what he says, he is not accountable to his Ordainers upon the account of being ordained by them, page 19. What can be the meaning of this, but that Ministers are not bound to preach the Tenets or Doctrines of those that sent them? although Our Saviour himself says, my Doctrine is not mine but his that fent me, John 7. 16.

B. I observe he may prove a shrew'd Man if he lives; and set up for a new Resormer; and then justifie it by saying, Its an ignorant supposition to think every man is ordained to preach the Tenets of his Ordainers, or else must have no Mission. The Ordainers being only instruments, but the Power from Christ, page 19.

Reader, May not a Man receive Orders from the Church of England, and afterwards make a step to New England, and there

preach against Common Prayer by vertue of this affertion?

A. But what fay you to that fourrility and uncleanliness of Language wherewith he treats Mr. M. from one end of his An-

swer to the other?

B. You must Pardon him, he had many Reasons for that; first, to shew a good example to his Flock. 2. To prove himself a Gentleman and a well bred Scholar. 3. To entertain his Friends, with whom that sort of Language may be more taking perhaps then the most modest Expressions. 4. To shew his Zeal for the Church, and how much better a Dutiful Child may love a good Foster Mother the Church of Ireland, then his own natural Mother the Church of Scotland, &c.

A. Let us adjourn this Discourse till to Morrow. And Conclude this first Dialogue with Burnet's Character of those two worthy Persons that dyed for the Catholick Religion under Henry VIII. Six Thomas More, and the Bishop of Rochester.

N 2

On the first of July (anno 1535.) Sir Thomas More was brought to his Tryal. The special matter of his Indictment was, that on the 7th of May preceding, before Cromwell, Bedyll and some others that were pressing him concerning the Kings Supremacy, he said, he would not meddle with any such matter. And was sully resolved to serve God and think upon his Passon, and his own passage out of this World. That he had also sent divers Messages by one George Gold to Bishop Fisher, to encourage him in his obstinacy, and said, the Ast of Supremacy is like a Sword with two Edges; for if a man answer one way, it will consound his Soul, and if he answer another way, it will consound his Body. That he had said the same thing on the third of June, in the hearing of the Lord Chanceller, the Duke of Norfolk and others. And that he would not be the occasion of the shortening his own Life.

This and other particulars, which passed betwixt him and Rich the Kings Sollicitor, were laid together, and judged to amount to a Denyal of the Kings Supremacy. Judge Spelman Writes, that More being on his Tryal, pleaded strongly against the Statute that made it Treason to deny the Supremacy; and argued, that the King could not be Supream Head of the Church. When he was brought to the Bar, he pleaded Not Guilty; but being found Guilty, Judgment was given aganst him as a Traitor. He received it with an equal Temper of Mind, which he had shewed in both conditions of Life; and then fet himself wholly to prepare for Death. He expressed great Contempt of the World: and that he was weary of Life, and long'd for Death; which was so little terrible to him, that his ordinary Facetiousness remained with him on the Scaffold. It was censured by many, as light and undecent; but others faid, that way having been so natural to him on all other occasions, it was not at all affected; but shewed that Death did no way discompose him; nor so much as put him out of his ordinary Humour. Yet his rallying every thing on the Scaffold, was thought to have more of the Stoick than the Christian in it.

After

After some time spent in secret Devotions, he was beheaded

on the fixth of July.

Thus dyed Sir Thomas More in the 53d year of his age. He was a man of rare Vertues and excellent Parts. In his youth he had freer thoughts of things, as appears by his Utopia and his Letters to Erasmus; but afterwards he became superstitiously devoted (fays Burnet) to the Interests and Passions of the Popish Clergy; and as he served them when he was in Authority, even to affift them in all their Cruelties; so he employed his Pen in the same Cause, both in writing against all the new Opinions in general, and in particular against Tindall, Frith and Barns. He was no Divine at all (if you believe Burnet,) and it is plain to any that reads his Writings, that he knew nothing of Antiquity. beyond the Quotations he found in the Canon Law, and in the Master of the Sentences; only he had read some of St. Austins Treatifes: For upon all points of Controversie he quotes only what he found in those Collections. Nor was was he at all conversant in the critical Learning upon the Scriptures (he did not care for the new fangled Conceits of the Reformers.) But his peculiar Excellency in writing was, that he had a natural, easie Expression, and presented all the Opinions of Popery with their fair fide to the Reader, difguifing, or concealing the black fide of them with great Art; (that is, he did not understand, or mistake Popery as Protestants do;) and was no less dextrous in exposing all the ill consequences that could follow on the Doctrine of the Reformers; and had upon all occasions great store of pleasant Tales, which he applied wittily to his purpose. And in this confists the great strength of his Writings, which were designed rather for the Rabble than for learned Men. But for Justice, Contempt of Mony, Humility, and a true Generofity of Mind, he was an Example to the Age in which he lived. Burnets words, pag 356. I vol. Second Edition.

The Bishop of Rochester had been a Prisoner above a year, and was very severely used (says Burnet); he complained in his Letters to Cromwell (then Secretary of State) that he had neither Clothes.

Clothes, nor Fire, being then about fourscore years of age. - If he had kept his Opinion of the Kings Supremacy to himfelf, they could not have proceeded further. He would not do that, but did upon several occasions speak against it. So he was brought to his Tryal on the 17th of June. The Lord Chancellor, the Duke of Suffolk, and some other Lords, together with the Judges, fate upon him by Commission of Over and Terminer. He pleaded Not Guilty. But being found Guilty, Judgment paffed on him to dye as a Traytor. But he was by a Warrant from the King beheaded. Upon the 22d of June, being the day of his Execution, he dressed himself with more than ordinary care; and when his Man took notice of it, he told him, he was to be that day a Bridegroom. As he was led to the place of Execution, being flop'd in the way by the croud, he opened his New Testament, and prayed to this purpose, that as that Book had been his Companion and chief Comfort in his Imprisonment, so then some place might tutn up to him, that might comfort him in his last passage. This being said, he opened the Book at a venture, in which these words of St. Johns Gospel turn'd up, This is Life eternal, to know thee the only true God, and Jesus Christ, whom thou hast sent. So he shut the Book with much satisfaction; and all the way was reading and meditating on them. When he came to the Scaffold, he pronounced the Te Deum. And after some other Devotions, his Head was cut off.

Thus dyed Jon Fisher, Bishop of Rochester, in the 80th year of his age. He was a learned and devout man (says Burnet,) but much addicted to the Superstitions in which he had been bred up, and that led him to great severities against all that opposed them. He had been for many years Contessor to the Kings Grandmother the Countess of Richmond. And it was believed, that he persuaded her to those noble designs fer the Advancement of Learning, of sounding 2 Colledges in Cambridge, St. Johns and Christs Colledge; and Divinity Professors in both Universities. And in acknowledgement of this he was chosen Chancellor of the University of Cambridge. Henry 7. gave him the Bishoprick

of Rechester, which he, sollowing the rule of the primitive Church, would never change for a better. He used to say, his Church was his Wise, and he would never part with her, because she was poor. He continued in great Favour with Henry 8. till the business of the Divorce was set on soot; and then he adhered so firmly to the Queens Cause, and the Popes Supremacy, that he was carried headlong into great Errors. So Burnet, after his petulant manner, is pleased to character this good man, pag. 354. 1. vol.

But then adds. Many thought the King ought to have proceeded against him rather upon that which was a point of State, than upon the Supremacy, which was matter of Conscience: but the King (saith he) was resolved to let all his Subjects see, there was no Mercy to be expected by any that denyed his being Supreme Head of the Church: and therefore made him and Sir Thomas More two Examples for terrifying the rest. Burnet ibid.

But the Courage and Christian Soul of this Bishop appears in those Speeches which he made in the House of Lords, whereof there is no mention in Burnet. Which, for the primitive Simplicity and Honesty thereof, I shall here transcribe out of Dr. Bayly's History.

The First Speech, concerning the Demand of the Smaller Abbies for the Kings Use.

My Lords,

I Pray you to take good heed unto what you are doing; lest you do you know not what. For indeed the things that are demanded at our hands are not ours to grant, nor theirs to whom we should bestow them, if we should grant them their Desires. But they are the Legacies of those Testators who have given them to the Church for ever, under the Penalty of a heavy Curse, imposed on all those who shall any way go about to alienate their Property from the Church. And besides, if we grant the smaller Abbies to the King, what should we do otherwise than shew him the way how in time it may be lawful for him to demand the greater? Wherefore the manner of these Proceedings puts me in mind of a Fable, how the Ax (that wanted a Hau.

Handle) came upon a time to the Wood, making his moan to the great Trees, how he wanted a Handle to work withal, and for that cause he was constrained to set idle. Wherefore he made it his request unta them, that they would grant him one of their smaller Saplings to make him a Handle. They, mistrusting no guile, granted him one of the smaller Trees; so becoming a compleat Ax, he so fell to work within the same Wood, that in process of time there was neither great nor small Tree to be found there.

And so, my Lords, if you grant the King these smaller Monasteries, you do but make him a Handle, whereby at his own Pleasure he may cut down all the Cedars within your Libanus. And then you may thank your selves after ye have incurred the heavy Displea-

Sure of Almighty God.

His Speech concerning many severe Objections against the whole Clergy, anno 1529.

My Lords. Tere are certain Bills exhibited against the Clergy; and Complaints against the Viciousness, Idleness, Rapacity and Cruelty of Bishops, Abbots, Priests and their Officials; but, my Lords, are all vicious, all idle, all ravenous and cruel Priests or Bishops? Are there not Laws already provided against such ? is there any abuse that cannot be rectified? or can there be such a Reformation, that there shall be no Abuses? are there not Clergymen to restifie the Abuses of the Clergy? or shall men find fault with other mens manners whilst they forget their own? or punish where they have no Athority to correct? If we be not executive in our Laws, let each man suffer for bis Delinquency. Or if we have not Power, aid us with your Afistunce, and we shall give you thanks. But, my Lords, I hear there is a Motion made, that the smaller Monasteries should be taken into the Kings hands, which makes me apprehend, it is not so much the good as the Goods of the Church that are aim'd at.

Truly, my Lords, how this may sound in your ears I cannot tell; but to me it appears no otherwise, than as if our Mother the Church were now to be brought into Servility; and by little and little to

be banished out of those dwelling places which the Piety & Liberality of our Ancestors have conferred upon her. Otherwise to what end are those portentous and curious Petitions of the Commons? To no other intent and purpose than to bring the Clergy into contempt with the Laiety, that they may seize their Patrimony.

But my Lords beware of Tour Selves, and of Tour Countrey: Beware of Tour Mother the Catholick Church. The People are additted unto Novelties. And Lutheranism spreads it self amongst us. Remember Germany and Bohemia; what Miseries are befallen them already; and let our Neighbours Houses that are now on Fire,

teach us to beware of our own Difasters.

My Lords, I will tell you plainly what I think, that except ye refift manfully by your Authorities this violent Stream of Mischiefs offered by the Commons, you shall see all respect first withdrawn from the Clergy, and, secondly from Tour * Selves. But if you search into the true causes of all these Mischiefs that Reign amongst them, you shall find that they all arise through want of Faith.

*This Prophecy was fulfilled anno 1649, when the House of Lords was voted useless and dangerous by the Commons.

His Speech to the Lords concerning the Kings Supremacy.

thole I and theed my Steep New my Lords can had added to ledi-

IT is true, we are all under the King's Lash, and stand in need of the King's good Favour and Clemency. Yet this argues not that we must therefore do that which will render us both ridiculous and contemptible to all the Christian World; and hissed out from the Society of Gods Holy Catholick Church: What good will it do us to keep the Possession of our Houses, Cloysters and Convents, and to lose the Society of the Christian World? To preserve our Goods, and lose our Consciences? Therefore My Lords, I pray let us consider what we are doing; and what it is we are to Grant, with the Dangers and Inconveniences.

Handle) came upon a time to the Wood, making his moan to the great Trees, how he wanted a Handle to work withal, and for that cause he was constrained to sit idle. Wherefore he made it his request unto them, that they would grant him one of their smaller Saplings to make him a Handle. They, mistrusting no guile, granted him one of the smaller Trees; so becoming a compleat Ax, he so fell to work within the same Wood, that in process of time there was neither great nor small Tree to be found there.

And so, my Lords, if you grant the King these smaller Monasteries, you do but make him a Handle, whereby at his own Pleasure he may cut down all the Cedars within your Libanus. And then you may thank your selves after ye have incurred the heavy Displea-

Sure of Almighty God.

His Speech concerning many severe Objections against the whole Clergy, anno 1529.

My Lords. Here are certain Bills exhibited against the Clergy; and Complaints against the Viciousness, Idleness, Rapacity and Cruelty of Bishops, Abbots, Priests and their Officials; but, my Lords, are all vicious, all idle, all ravenous and cruel Priests or Bishops? there not Laws already provided against such? is there any abuse that cannot be rectified? os can there be such a Reformation, that there shall be no Abuses? are there not Clergymen to rectifie the Abuses of the Clergy? or shall men find fault with other mens manners whilst they forget their own? or punish where they have no Athority to correct? If we be not executive in our Laws, let each man suffer for bis Delinquency. Or if we have not Power, aid us with your Affifunce, and we shall give you thanks. But, my Lords, I hear there is a Motion made, that the smaller Monasteries should be taken into the Kings hands, which makes me apprehend, it is not so much the good as the Goods of the Church that are aim'd at.

Truly, my Lords, how this may sound in your ears I cannot tell; but to me it appears no otherwise, than as if our Mother the Church were now to be brought into Servility; and by little and little to

be banished out of those dwelling places which the Piety & Liberality of our Ancestors have conferred upon her. Otherwise to what end are those portentous and curious Petitions of the Commons? To no other intent and purpose than to bring the Clergy into contempt with the Laiety, that they may seize their Patrimony.

But my Lords beware of Tour Selves, and of Tour Countrey: Beware of Tour Mother the Catholick Church. The People are additted unto Novelties. And Lutheranism spreads it self amongst us. Remember Germany and Bohemia; what Miseries are befallen them already; and let our Neighbours Houses that are now on Fire,

teach us to beware of our own Difasters.

My Lords, I will tell you plainly what I think, that except ye refift manfully by your Authorities this violent Stream of Mischiefs offered by the Commons, you shall see all respect first withdrawn from the Clergy, and, secondly from Your * Selves. But if you search into the true causes of all these Mischiefs that Reign amongst them, you shall find that they all arise through want of Faith.

*This Prophecy was fulfilled anno 1649. When the House of Lords was voted useless and dangerous by the Commons.

His Speech to the Lords concerning the Kings Supremacy.

My Lords,

IT is true, we are all under the King's Lash, and stand in need of the King's good Favour and Clemency. Yet this argues not that we must therefore do that which will render us both ridiculous and contemptible to all the Christian World; and hissed out from the Society of Gods Holy Catholick Church: What good will it do us to keep the Possession of our Houses, Cloysters and Convents, and to lose the Society of the Christian World? To preserve our Goods, and lose our Consciences? Therefore My Lords, I pray let us consider what we are doing; and what it is we are to Grant, with the Dangers and Inconveniences.

niences that will ensue thereupon. Or whether it lyes in Our power to grant what the King requires at our hands. Whether the King be an apt person to receive this Power, that so we may go groundedly to work; and not like Men that had lost all Honesty and Wit together with their Worldly Fortune.

As concerning the first point, viz. What the Supremacy of the Church is, which we are to give unto the King. It is to exercise the Spiritual Government of the Church in Chief, which according to all that ever I have learned both in the Gospel, and through the whole course of Divinity, mainly consists in these two points.

First, In Binding and Absolving Sinners, according to that which our Saviour said unto Saint Peter, when he ordained him Head of his Church, viz. To thre will I give the Keys of the Kingdom of Heaven.

Now My Lords, can we say unto the King, Tibi, so thee will me give the Keys of the Kingdom of Heaven? If ye say, I, where is your Warrant? If you say No, then you have answered your Selves, that you cannot put such Keys into his hands.

Secondly, The Supream Government of the Church confifts in feeding Christ's Sheep and Lambs; according to that, when our Saviour performed his promise to Saint Peter of making him universal Shepherd, by such unlimited Jurisdiction, seed my Lambs; and not only so, but seed those that are the seeders of those Lambs, seed my Sheep. Now my Lords can any of us say

unto the King pasce Oves ?

God hath given unto his Church some to be Apostles, some Evangelists, some Pasters, some Dostors for the Edifying of the Body of Christ. So that you must make the King one of these, before you can make him Head of the Church. He must be such a Head as may edifie the Members of Christ's Body; and it is not the sew Ministers of an Island that must constitute a Head over the Universe; or at least by such example we must allow as many Heads over the Universe, as there are Sovereign Powers within Christ's Dominion. Every Member must have a Head.

Attendite vobis was not faid to King's but Bifhops II a Tovan

2. Let us consider the Inconveniencies that will arise upon this Grant: We cannot grant this unto the King, but we must renounce our Unity with the See of Rome. And if there were no further matter in it, then a renouncing of Clement VII. (now Pope thereof) then the matter were not so great; but in this we do forsake the first sour General Councils; which none ever forsook. We renounce all Canonical and Ecclesiastical Laws of the Church of Christ; we renounce all other Christian Princes; we renounce the Unity of the Christian World (I suppose he means by inventing to our Selves a Church of England divided from all the rest of the Christian World) and so by leaping out of Peters Ship to be drowned in the unstable Waters of Herese, Sects, Schisms and Consusons.

For the first General Council of Nice acknowledged Sylvester the Bishop of Rome his Authority to be over them, by

sending their Decrees to be ratifyed by him.

The Council of Constantinople did acknowledge Pope Damosus to be their Chief. by admitting him to give Sentence against the Hereticks, Macedonius, Sabellius and Eunomius.

The Council of Ephelus acknowledged Pope Celestine to be their chief Judge, by admitting his Condemnation upon the He-

retick Neftorius.

The Council of Calcedon acknowledged Pope Leo to be their chief Head, and all General Councils of the World ever acknowledged the Pope of Rome (only) to be Supream Head of the Church under Christ. And now shall we set up another Head? or one Head in England, and another in Rome?

3. We deny all Leclefiaftical Laws which do wholly depend

upon the Authority of the Apostolick See of Rame.

4. We renounce the Judgment of all other Christian Princes, whether they be Protestants or Catholicks. Nay by this argument Nero and Herod must have been Heads of the Church of Christ. The Emperour must be Head of the Protestant Church in Germany. And the Church of Christ must have

O 2

never a Head at all till about three hundred years after Christ.

Fifthly. The Kings Majesty is not susceptible of this Donation: Ozia, for medling with the Priests Office, was resisted by Azaria, thrust out of the Temple, and told, that it belongs not to his Office. Now, if the Priest spake truth in this, then is not the King to meddle in this business: if he spake amis, why did God plague the King with Leprose for this, and not the Priest?

King David, when the Ark of God was bringing home, did he place himself in the head of the Priests Order? did he so much as touch the Ark? or execute any the least Office properly belonging to the Priestly Function? or did he not rather go before, and abase himself amongst the people, and say, that he would become yet more vile, so that God might be glorified?

All good Christian Emperors have evermore resused Ecclestastical Authority; for at the first General Council of Nice, certain Bills were privily brought unto Constantine, to be ordered
by his Authority; but he caused them to be burnt, saying, Deminus ves constituit, &c. God hath ordained you (Priests) and hath
given you Power to be Judges over us; and therefore by right in
these things we are to be judged by you, but you are not to be judged by me.

Valentine, the Good Emperor, was desired by the Bishops to be present with them, to reform the Heresie of the Arrians; but he answered, Forasmuch as I am one of the Members of the Lay-people, it is not lawful for me to define such Controversies; but let the Priests, to whom God bath given the charge thereof, assemble the charge thereof, assemble the charge thereof.

ble where they will in due Order.

Theodofius, writing to the Council of Ephefus, saith, It is not lawful for him that is not of the holy Order of Bishops, to intermeddle with Ecclesiastical matters. And now shall we cause our King to be Head of the Church, which all good Kings have abhorred the very least thought of? (and so many wicked Kings have

have been plagued for so doing.) Truly, my Lords, I think they are his best Friends that disswade him from it; and he would be

the worst enemy to himself, if he should obtain it.

Lastly. If this thing be, farewel all Unity with Christendom; for as that holy and blessed Martyr St. Cyprian saith, all Unity depends upon that holy See, as upon the Authority of St. Peters Successors; for, saith the same holy Father, all Herefies, Sects & Schisms, have no other Rise but this, that men will not be obedient to the chief Bishop; and now for us to shake off our Communion with that Church, either we must grant the Church of Rome to be the Church of God, or else a Malignant Church. If you answer, she is a Church of God, and a Church where Christ is truly taught, his Sacraments rightly administred, Gc. how can we forsake, how can we fly from such a Church? certainly we ought to be with, and not to separate our selves from such a one.

If we answer. The Church of Rome is not of God. but a Malignant Church, then it will follow, that we, the Inhabitants of this Land, have not as yet received the true Faith of Christ; feeing we have not received any other Gospel, any other Doctrine, any other Sacraments, than what we have received from her; as most evidently appears by all the Ecclefieftical Histories: wherefore, if the be a Malignant Church, we have been deceived all this while; and if to renounce the common Father of Chriflendom, all the General Councils, especially the first Four, which none renounce, all the Countreys of Christendom, whether they be Catholique Countreys or Protestant, be to forsake the Unity of the Christian World, then is the granting of the Supremacy. of the Church unto the King, a renouncing of this Unity, a tearing of the Seamless Coat of Christ in funder, a dividing of the Mystical Body of Christ his Spouse, simb from limb, and tayl to tayl, like Sampsons Foxes, to set the Field of Christs holy Church all on fire: and this is it which we are about; wherefore let it be faid unto you in time, and not too late, Look you to that.

The End of the First Dialogue, containing the History of the First Reformers, and Anti-Reformers.

The Second treats of the Reformation it felf, and the natural Fruits thereof, Jealoufy and Diffraction amongst the People,

Decay of Sincerity, &c.

Now, Reader, (wer't thou to choose thy Religion) consider which of these two Guides thou wouldst sollow, Cranmer or the Bishop of Rochester; the former having no Mission from Heaven, nor major Vote of the Convocation to authorise his Reformation, nor yet any great mind to dye a Martyr for the same; the later frankly exposing his Life to Stemm that Inundation of Sacriledge, Schism and Consuson that was breaking in, anno 1535.

FIN LS.



of the state of the a Cherch? contain the

